Americans Prefer Supporting, Not Leading Role, in Constraining Chinese and Russian Ambitions

Great power competition is the organizing principle of President Biden’s new National Security Strategy. Is the American public on board?

Key Findings

- American views of Russia and China have hit record lows, with majorities considering both countries’ territorial ambitions to be critical threats. (60% for Russia, 52% for China)
- The proportion of Americans who believe that terrorism is a critical threat has fallen from 75 percent in 2017 to 58 percent today, and issues like energy security and Russia’s territorial ambitions have topped terrorism as the top critical threat in recent years.
- A majority of Americans prefer a supporting role in coordinating international responses to China’s rise (53%) and Russia’s invasion of Ukraine (55%), as opposed to a leading one.

The G20 Summit and the Era of Managed Competition

US President Joe Biden’s meeting with China’s Xi Jinping at November’s G20 summit offered a glimpse into the new logic of great power competition. While the United States sought to resume dialogue and improve ‘guardrails’ within the tense relationship with China, both powers’ positions on key disagreements—including over Taiwan, the Russian invasion of Ukraine, economic coercion, and human rights—remained unchanged. Perhaps the clearest signs of fraying great power ties were the absences on the agenda. Sharply criticized over the Russian invasion of Ukraine, Vladimir Putin skipped the event, and the traditional “family photo” of national representatives was
canceled after Western leaders refused to appear with Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov.

Indeed, “vigorous,” managed competition with Russia and China is the cornerstone of the National Security Strategy (NSS) released in October. Results from the 2022 Chicago Council Survey show that the Biden administration’s focus reflects Americans’ deep concerns about both countries: perceptions of threats from Russia and China have increased, while worries about terrorism have receded. But while Americans support sanctions, security assistance, and other policies in response to current and potential crises due to Russia and China’s postures, the public endorses a supporting rather than leading US role in combatting Russia and China’s influence.

American Views of Russia and China Have Hit Record Lows

The National Security Strategy articulates a dark view of Russian and Chinese ambitions, characterizing Russia as an “immediate threat” to the rules-based order and China as a revisionist power that aims to displace the American-led international system. This comes as little surprise: the 2017 NSS and 2021 interim strategic guidance documented growing distrust of both countries in Washington.

The American public shares these negative views of Russia and China, and declining favorability of both countries has mirrored worsening tensions. Asked to rate the warmth of their feelings toward Russia and China from 0 to 100, Americans rate Russia 22 and China 32, the lowest since the question was first asked in 1978.
Notably, Americans view Russia less favorably following its invasion of Ukraine than they viewed the Soviet Union following its invasion of Afghanistan (25 in 1982). And the public is twice as likely to see Russia’s territorial ambitions as a critical threat today (60%) as they were in 2016 (30%).

At the same time, disputes with China—over Taiwan, access to sensitive technologies, and China’s treatment of Uyghurs, among other issues—appear to have placed unprecedented strain on Americans’ views of the relationship. The 13-degree drop in warmth from 2018 to 2022 is substantially greater than declines that have followed previous rifts, including the 1989 Tiananmen Square protests and the Third Taiwan Strait Crisis in 1996. When the 2015 National Security Strategy declared that “the United States welcomes the rise of a stable, peaceful, and prosperous China,” only four in 10 Americans viewed China’s development as a critical threat. Nearly six in 10 do today (57%).
China as a Threat

Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an important threat at all: (% critical threat)

Broadly, the NSS describes China and Russia as rivals and strategic competitors while emphasizing that they pose distinct challenges to American interests. While the Trump administration's 2017 National Security Strategy portrayed China and Russia as a “revisionist” bloc with similar aims, the Biden administration differentiates Russia as a more immediate threat and China as the United States' longer-term “pacing challenge.”

On both counts, the American public agrees. Three in 10 see China as a rival (31%) and two in 10 as an adversary (22%). But Americans are more likely to see Russia as an adversary that the United States is in conflict with (57%), up from 39 percent in 2021. The public is also somewhat more likely to view Russia's territorial ambitions as a critical threat (60%) than China's territorial ambitions (52%).
Perceptions of Russia and China

Generally speaking, which of following descriptions do you feel best reflects the relationship between the United States and the countries listed below? (%)

- Russia: An ally – a country that shares our interests and values (1), A necessary partner – a country we must strategically cooperate with (7), A rival – a country with which we need to compete (14), An adversary – a country we are in conflict with (22), Don’t know (20)
- China: An ally – a country that shares our interests and values (2), A necessary partner – a country we must strategically cooperate with (23), A rival – a country with which we need to compete (31), An adversary – a country we are in conflict with (57), Don’t know (21)
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Concern over Russia and China May Be Eclipsing Historic Focus on Terrorism

In its emphasis on great power competition, the Biden administration is also pivoting away from the Middle East and the dominant focus on terrorism that followed the September 11 attacks. While acknowledging that nonstate actors pose an enduring threat, the NSS calls for a transition from leading counterterrorism efforts to supporting partner-led efforts and criticizes military-centric Middle East strategies of past administrations.

This strategic reorientation is in line with Americans' decreasing concern about terrorism, with the percentage of Americans who consider terrorism to be a critical threat falling from 75 percent in 2017 to 58 percent today. Americans considered international terrorism to be the top critical threat to the country as recently as 2019, but that top spot has been overtaken by the COVID-19 pandemic, domestic violent extremism, disruption in the energy supply, and Russia’s territorial ambitions in various recent years.
International Terrorism as a Critical Threat

Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an important threat at all: International terrorism (% critical threat)

Although it urges a more limited, over-the-horizon approach to combatting external terrorism, the NSS acknowledges “sharply increased” threats from domestic terrorism. In this sense, the public is also looking inward. In 2022, more than four-fifths of Americans reported being more concerned about internal threats (82%) than external ones (17%).

Americans Support Sanctions and Other Policy Responses to Crises, but are Wary of Broader Confrontation

On Taiwan and Ukraine, where the risk of great power confrontation is most manifest, the NSS largely continues recent US policy. With respect to Taiwan, it reiterates longstanding opposition to unilateral political changes or military escalation, while offering few policy specifics. But for Ukraine, which is mentioned 32 times, the Biden administration pledges security assistance, assistance to refugees, and sanctions on Russia’s strategic industries, having previously ruled out direct military involvement.

These policies are popular responses to crises among the public. Americans endorse sanctions in response to military aggression and human rights: Eight in 10 Americans back sanctions against Russia for its invasion of Ukraine (80%), although only a minority believe that sanctions will compel Russia to end its invasion (40%). A similar proportion would support sanctions if China
were to invade Taiwan (76%), and Americans give bipartisan support for sanctions over China’s treatment of minorities and human rights violations.

Security assistance and arms sales draw similarly broad support. Seven in 10 want the United States to send additional arms and military equipment to Ukraine (72%). And if China were to invade Taiwan, majorities support arms shipments (65%) and using the US Navy to prevent a blockade (62%). These parallels in preferred policy options are not altogether surprising, considering that the public considers Russia and China’s challenges to territorial norms to be related. More than three in four believe that the Russian invasion of Ukraine is likely to encourage a Chinese invasion of Taiwan (76%).

Broadly, security assistance and economic support are widely preferred to more direct military engagement. Americans are wary about armed confrontation with Russia and China; when presented with other options, fewer than four in 10 Americans support sending troops to Taiwan (40%) or Ukraine (38%) to help defend against invasion.

**Support for Sanctions and Military Action in Conflicts over Ukraine and Taiwan**

- **Ukraine**
  - Increasing economic and diplomatic sanctions on Russia: 80% (overall), 85% (Republican), 79% (Democrat), 76% (Independent)
  - Accepting Ukrainian refugees into the United States: 66% (overall), 57% (Republican), 84% (Democrat), 76% (Independent)
  - Sending additional arms and military supplies to the Ukrainian government: 72% (overall), 68% (Republican), 68% (Democrat), 79% (Independent)
  - Providing economic assistance to Ukraine: 64% (overall), 68% (Republican), 82% (Democrat), 66% (Independent)
  - Sending US troops to Ukraine to help the Ukrainian government defend itself against Russia: 38% (overall), 34% (Republican), 42% (Democrat), 37% (Independent)

- **Taiwan**
  - Imposing economic and diplomatic sanctions on China: 76% (overall), 77% (Republican), 79% (Democrat), 75% (Independent)
  - Accepting Taiwanese refugees into the United States: 67% (overall), 57% (Republican), 75% (Democrat), 69% (Independent)
  - Sending additional arms and military supplies to the Taiwanese government: 65% (overall), 62% (Republican), 69% (Democrat), 64% (Independent)
  - Using the US Navy to prevent China from imposing a blockade around Taiwan: 62% (overall), 62% (Republican), 64% (Democrat), 64% (Independent)
  - Sending US troops to Taiwan to help the Taiwanese government defend itself against China: 40% (overall), 39% (Republican), 41% (Democrat), 41% (Independent)

However, public support is less clear when it comes to the National Security Strategy’s broader focus on competition and the leading role it sees for the US in shaping a global response. The NSS names “out-competing China and
constraining Russia” as guiding objectives. But constraining potential aggressors in the world is not a top foreign policy focus for Republicans or Democrats, with only one in 10 counting it as the most important priority.

More generally, Americans prefer collaboration with allies over a leading role in confronting great power competitors. When it comes to China’s increasingly assertive foreign policy, more than half of Americans want the country to play a supporting role (53%) in coordinating an international response to China’s rise, as opposed to a leading one (35%). A similar proportion prefers a supporting role in coordinating an international response to Russia’s invasion of Ukraine (55%), instead of a leading one (30%), which is notable given the United States’ highly proactive role in organizing sanctions and security assistance from the conflict’s outset.

An Inflection Point for American Foreign Policy

This year’s National Security Strategy is blunt about the shifting international environment, stating that the “post-Cold War era is definitively over.” The Russian invasion of Ukraine and mounting tensions over Taiwan have underscored that point, focusing minds on the threat posed by rival great powers. Time will tell whether the American public endorses a concerted, global contest against China and Russia. For now, it is looking at both domestic threats and the challenge posed by Beijing and Moscow with clear concern.