

Codebook

[DataSet2008] C:\Users\ckafura\Desktop\Data\2008public.sav

CaseID

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	1
	Label	Case Identification
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F10
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	1505
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	882.20
	Standard Deviation	493.586
	Percentile 25	450.00
	Percentile 50	915.00
	Percentile 75	1296.00

tm_start

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	2
	Label	Interview start time
	Type	Numeric
	Format	DATETIME20
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	1505
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	06-Jul-2008 16:07:31
	Standard Deviation	02 17:50:50.781
	Percentile 25	04-Jul-2008 12:48:55
	Percentile 50	05-Jul-2008 18:22:26
	Percentile 75	08-Jul-2008 00:27:53

tm_finish

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	3
	Label	Interview finish time
	Type	Numeric
	Format	DATETIME20
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	1505
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	07-Jul-2008 00:13:52
	Standard Deviation	02 23:05:14.137
	Percentile 25	04-Jul-2008 14:19:15
	Percentile 50	06-Jul-2008 02:08:01
	Percentile 75	08-Jul-2008 15:45:18

duration

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	4
	Label	Interview duration in minutes
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F5
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	1505
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	485.86
	Standard Deviation	1725.466
	Percentile 25	21.00
	Percentile 50	30.00
	Percentile 75	48.00

Weight

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	5
	Label	Post-stratification weight
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F4.2
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	1505
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	1.6318
	Standard Deviation	1.15726
	Percentile 25	.7500
	Percentile 50	1.2300
	Percentile 75	2.1900

Randata01

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	6		
	Label	Q10		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	501	33.3%
	1	Yes	1004	66.7%

Randata02

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	7		
	Label	Q15		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	504	33.5%
	1	Yes	1000	66.5%

Randata03

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	8		
	Label	Q20		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	498	33.1%
	1	Yes	1006	66.9%

Randata04

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	9		
	Label	Q25		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	485	32.3%
	1	Yes	1019	67.7%

Randata05

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	10		
	Label	Q40		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	488	32.5%
	1	Yes	1016	67.5%

Randata06

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	11		
	Label	Q45		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	515	34.2%
	1	Yes	989	65.8%

Randata07

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	12		
	Label	Q50		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	519	34.5%
	1	Yes	986	65.5%

Randata08

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	13		
	Label	Q52		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	491	32.6%
	1	Yes	1013	67.4%

Randata09

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	14		
	Label	Q55		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	503	33.5%
	1	Yes	1001	66.5%

Randata10

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	15		
	Label	Q65		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	497	33.0%
	1	Yes	1008	67.0%

Randata11

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	16		
	Label	Q66		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	469	31.2%
	1	Yes	1036	68.8%

Randata12

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	17		
	Label	Q70		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	469	31.2%
	1	Yes	1035	68.8%

Randata13

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	18		
	Label	Q75		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	532	35.3%
	1	Yes	973	64.7%

Randata14

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	19		
	Label	Q80		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	534	35.5%
	1	Yes	971	64.5%

Randata15

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	20		
	Label	Q85		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	500	33.3%
	1	Yes	1004	66.7%

Randata16

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	21		
	Label	Q90		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	556	37.0%
	1	Yes	948	63.0%

Randata17

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	22		
	Label	Q92		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	508	33.8%
	1	Yes	996	66.2%

Randata18

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	23		
	Label	Q95		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	456	30.3%
	1	Yes	1049	69.7%

Randata19

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	24		
	Label	Q97		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	484	32.2%
	1	Yes	1021	67.8%

Randata20

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	25		
	Label	Q100		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	506	33.6%
	1	Yes	998	66.4%

Randata21

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	26		
	Label	Q105		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	491	32.6%
	1	Yes	1014	67.4%

Randata22

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	27		
	Label	Q110		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	503	33.4%
	1	Yes	1001	66.6%

Randata23

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	28		
	Label	Q115		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	518	34.5%
	1	Yes	986	65.5%

Randata24

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	29		
	Label	Q125		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	520	34.5%
	1	Yes	985	65.5%

Randata25

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	30		
	Label	Q130		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	496	33.0%
	1	Yes	1008	67.0%

Randata26

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	31		
	Label	Q140		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	501	33.3%
	1	Yes	1003	66.7%

Randata27

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	32		
	Label	Q155		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	506	33.6%
	1	Yes	999	66.4%

Randata28

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	33		
	Label	Q160		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	498	33.1%
	1	Yes	1006	66.9%

Randata29

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	34		
	Label	Q165		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	508	33.8%
	1	Yes	996	66.2%

Randata30

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	35		
	Label	Q170		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	492	32.7%
	1	Yes	1013	67.3%

Randata31

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	36		
	Label	Q175		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	490	32.6%
	1	Yes	1014	67.4%

Randata32

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	37		
	Label	Q180		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	486	32.3%
	1	Yes	1019	67.7%

Randata33

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	38		
	Label	Q185		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	503	33.4%
	1	Yes	1001	66.6%

Randata34

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	39		
	Label	Q190		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	545	36.2%
	1	Yes	959	63.8%

Randata35

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	40		
	Label	Q195		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	491	32.6%
	1	Yes	1013	67.4%

Randata36

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	41		
	Label	Q200		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	501	33.3%
	1	Yes	1004	66.7%

Randata37

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	42		
	Label	Q205		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	488	32.5%
	1	Yes	1016	67.5%

Randata38

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	43		
	Label	Q210		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	510	33.9%
	1	Yes	995	66.1%

Randata39

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	44		
	Label	Q215		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	513	34.1%
	1	Yes	991	65.9%

Randata40

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	45		
	Label	Q218		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	496	32.9%
	1	Yes	1009	67.1%

Randata41

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	46		
	Label	Q219		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	500	33.2%
	1	Yes	1004	66.8%

Randata42

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	47		
	Label	Q220		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	508	33.8%
	1	Yes	997	66.2%

Randata43

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	48		
	Label	Q223		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	504	33.5%
	1	Yes	1000	66.5%

Randata44

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	49		
	Label	Q224		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	499	33.2%
	1	Yes	1006	66.8%

Randata45

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	50		
	Label	Q225		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	490	32.5%
	1	Yes	1015	67.5%

Randata46

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	51		
	Label	Q226		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	510	33.9%
	1	Yes	995	66.1%

Randata47

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	52		
	Label	Q231		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	500	33.2%
	1	Yes	1004	66.8%

Randata48

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	53		
	Label	Q235		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	534	35.5%
	1	Yes	970	64.5%

Randata49

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	54		
	Label	Q250		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	487	32.4%
	1	Yes	1018	67.6%

Randata50

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	55		
	Label	Q255		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	512	34.1%
	1	Yes	992	65.9%

Randata51

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	56		
	Label	Q260		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	487	32.4%
	1	Yes	1018	67.6%

Randata52

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	57		
	Label	Q263		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	500	33.2%
	1	Yes	1004	66.8%

Randata53

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	58		
	Label	Q265		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	505	33.6%
	1	Yes	1000	66.4%

Randata54

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	59		
	Label	Q267		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	471	31.3%
	1	Yes	1033	68.7%

DataQ5_2_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	60		
	Label	Islamic fundamentalism		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	762	50.7%
	1	Yes	742	49.3%

DataQ5_2_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	61		
	Label	Islamic extremism		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	742	49.3%
	1	Yes	762	50.7%

DataQ5_6_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	62		
	Label	Global Warming		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	762	50.7%
	1	Yes	742	49.3%

DataQ5_6_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	63		
	Label	Climate change		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	742	49.3%
	1	Yes	762	50.7%

dataQ216_217_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	64		
	Label	Q216 shown		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	762	50.7%
	1	Yes	742	49.3%

dataQ216_217_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	65		
	Label	Q217 shown		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	742	49.3%
	1	Yes	762	50.7%

dataQ120_01

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	66		
	Label	Egypt		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	482	32.0%
	1	Yes	1023	68.0%

dataQ120_02

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	67		
	Label	Germany		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	542	36.0%
	1	Yes	962	64.0%

dataQ120_03

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	68		
	Label	Japan		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	509	33.8%
	1	Yes	996	66.2%

dataQ120_04

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	69		
	Label	Mexico		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	491	32.6%
	1	Yes	1014	67.4%

dataQ120_05

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	70		
	Label	Israel		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	473	31.4%
	1	Yes	1032	68.6%

dataQ120_06

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	71		
	Label	Canada		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	503	33.4%
	1	Yes	1002	66.6%

dataQ120_07

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	72		
	Label	Brazil		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	463	30.8%
	1	Yes	1041	69.2%

dataQ120_08

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	73		
	Label	Russia		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	482	32.1%
	1	Yes	1022	67.9%

dataQ120_09

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	74		
	Label	Indonesia		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	510	33.9%
	1	Yes	994	66.1%

dataQ120_10

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	75		
	Label	Afghanistan		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	497	33.1%
	1	Yes	1007	66.9%

dataQ120_11

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	76		
	Label	Great Britain		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	508	33.7%
	1	Yes	997	66.3%

dataQ120_12

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	77		
	Label	Saudi Arabia		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	495	32.9%
	1	Yes	1009	67.1%

dataQ120_13

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	78		
	Label	China		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	497	33.0%
	1	Yes	1008	67.0%

dataQ120_14

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	79		
	Label	India		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	492	32.7%
	1	Yes	1013	67.3%

dataQ120_15

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	80		
	Label	Iran		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	511	34.0%
	1	Yes	994	66.0%

dataQ120_16

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	81		
	Label	Pakistan		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	530	35.2%
	1	Yes	974	64.8%

dataQ120_17

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	82		
	Label	Venezuela		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	522	34.7%
	1	Yes	982	65.3%

dataQ120_18

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	83		
	Label	France		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	520	34.5%
	1	Yes	985	65.5%

dataQ135_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	84		
	Label	The World Trade Organization (WTO)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	481	31.9%
	1	Yes	1024	68.1%

dataQ135_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	85		
	Label	The United Nations		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	499	33.1%
	1	Yes	1006	66.9%

dataQ135_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	86		
	Label	The World Bank		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	514	34.2%
	1	Yes	990	65.8%

dataQ135_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	87		
	Label	NATO		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	566	37.6%
	1	Yes	939	62.4%

dataQ135_5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	88		
	Label	The International Monetary Fund (IMF)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	445	29.6%
	1	Yes	1060	70.4%

dataQ135_6

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	89		
	Label	International human rights groups		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	518	34.4%
	1	Yes	987	65.6%

dataQ135_7

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	90		
	Label	International Atomic Energy Agency (new)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	497	33.0%
	1	Yes	1007	67.0%

dataQ135_8

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	91		
	Label	The World Health Organization (WHO)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	504	33.5%
	1	Yes	1000	66.5%

dataQ135_9

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	92		
	Label	International Criminal Court (New)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	491	32.6%
	1	Yes	1014	67.4%

dataQ150_01

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	93		
	Label	Pakistan		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	469	31.2%
	1	Yes	1035	68.8%

dataQ150_02

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	94		
	Label	Germany		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	433	28.8%
	1	Yes	1072	71.2%

dataQ150_03

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	95		
	Label	Mexico		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	423	28.1%
	1	Yes	1082	71.9%

dataQ150_04

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	96		
	Label	Israel		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	432	28.7%
	1	Yes	1073	71.3%

dataQ150_05

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	97		
	Label	Great Britain		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	471	31.3%
	1	Yes	1034	68.7%

dataQ150_06

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	98		
	Label	China		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	461	30.7%
	1	Yes	1043	69.3%

dataQ150_07

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	99		
	Label	Saudi Arabia		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	418	27.8%
	1	Yes	1086	72.2%

dataQ150_08

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	100		
	Label	France		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	465	30.9%
	1	Yes	1040	69.1%

dataQ150_09

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	101		
	Label	India		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	448	29.8%
	1	Yes	1056	70.2%

dataQ150_10

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	102		
	Label	Japan		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	457	30.4%
	1	Yes	1048	69.6%

dataQ150_11

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	103		
	Label	Russia		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	455	30.2%
	1	Yes	1050	69.8%

dataQ150_12

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	104		
	Label	Venezuela		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	400	26.6%
	1	Yes	1104	73.4%

dataQ150_13

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	105		
	Label	Iran		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	438	29.1%
	1	Yes	1066	70.9%

dataQ150_14

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	106		
	Label	Cuba		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	449	29.8%
	1	Yes	1056	70.2%

dataQ150_15

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	107		
	Label	Iraq		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	445	29.6%
	1	Yes	1059	70.4%

dataQ150_16

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	108		
	Label	Palestinian Authority		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	432	28.7%
	1	Yes	1073	71.3%

dataQ150_17

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	109		
	Label	South Africa		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	427	28.4%
	1	Yes	1078	71.6%

Q1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	110		
	Label	When you follow the news these days, how interested are you in news about the relations of the United States with other countries ?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very interested	467	31.1%
	2	Somewhat interested	709	47.1%
	3	Hardly interested	213	14.2%
	4	Don't follow the news	112	7.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	2	.2%
	System		0	.0%

Q3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	111		
	Label	Do you think it will be best for the future of the country if we take an active part in world affairs or if we stay out of world affairs?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Active part	954	63.4%
	2	Stay out	536	35.6%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	14	.9%
	System		0	.0%

Q5_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	112		
	Label	The Development of China as a world power :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Critical threat	608	40.4%
	2	Important but not critical threat	762	50.6%
	3	Not an important threat	120	7.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	16	1.0%
	System		1	.0%

Q5_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	113		
	Label	Islamic fundamentalism or Islamic extremism		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Critical threat	770	51.2%
	2	Important but not critical threat	563	37.5%
	3	Not an important threat	151	10.0%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	20	1.3%
	System		0	.0%

Q5_2_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	114		
	Label	Islamic fundamentalism		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	728		
	Missing	776		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	1.70		
	Standard Deviation	.685		
	Percentile 25	1.00		
	Percentile 50	2.00		
	Percentile 75	2.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	762	50.7%
	-1	Refused	14	.9%
	1	Critical threat	314	20.9%
	2	Important but not critical threat	320	21.3%
	3	Not an important threat	94	6.2%

Q5_2_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	115		
	Label	Islamic extremism		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	756		
	Missing	748		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	1.47		
	Standard Deviation	.634		
	Percentile 25	1.00		
	Percentile 50	1.00		
Labeled Values	Percentile 75	2.00		
	-2	Not Asked	742	49.3%
	-1	Refused	6	.4%
	1	Critical threat	456	30.3%
	2	Important but not critical threat	243	16.2%
	3	Not an important threat	57	3.8%

Q5_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	116		
	Label	The possibility of unfriendly countries becoming nuclear powers :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Critical threat	1004	66.7%
	2	Important but not critical threat	446	29.7%
	3	Not an important threat	49	3.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	5	.4%
	System		0	.0%

Q5_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	117		
	Label	Large numbers of immigrants and refugees coming into the U.S. :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Critical threat	769	51.1%
	2	Important but not critical threat	525	34.9%
	3	Not an important threat	196	13.0%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	14	.9%
	System		1	.0%

Q5_5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	118		
	Label	Economic competition from low-wage countries :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Critical threat	577	38.3%
	2	Important but not critical threat	734	48.8%
	3	Not an important threat	186	12.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	8	.5%
	System		1	.0%

Q5_6

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	119		
	Label	Global Warming or Climate Change		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Critical threat	628	41.8%
	2	Important but not critical threat	576	38.3%
	3	Not an important threat	284	18.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	16	1.1%
	System		0	.0%

Q5_6_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	120		
	Label	Global Warming		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	736		
	Missing	769		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	1.74		
	Standard Deviation	.749		
	Percentile 25	1.00		
	Percentile 50	2.00		
	Percentile 75	2.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	762	50.7%
	-1	Refused	6	.4%
	1	Critical threat	329	21.9%
	2	Important but not critical threat	272	18.1%
	3	Not an important threat	135	9.0%

Q5_6_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	121		
	Label	Climate Change		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	752		
	Missing	752		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	1.80		
	Standard Deviation	.747		
	Percentile 25	1.00		
	Percentile 50	2.00		
	Percentile 75	2.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	742	49.3%
	-1	Refused	10	.7%
	1	Critical threat	299	19.9%
	2	Important but not critical threat	304	20.2%
	3	Not an important threat	149	9.9%

Q5_7

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	122		
	Label	Disruption in energy supply :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Critical threat	1083	72.0%
	2	Important but not critical threat	373	24.8%
	3	Not an important threat	39	2.6%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	9	.6%
	System		0	.0%

Q5_8

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	123		
	Label	International terrorism :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Critical threat	1045	69.5%
	2	Important but not critical threat	398	26.4%
	3	Not an important threat	50	3.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	11	.8%
	System		0	.0%

Q5_9

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	124		
	Label	A confrontation between mainland China and Taiwan :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Critical threat	283	18.8%
	2	Important but not critical threat	906	60.2%
	3	Not an important threat	293	19.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	22	1.4%
	System		1	.0%

Q5_10

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	125		
	Label	Violent Islamist groups in Pakistan and Afghanistan :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Critical threat	824	54.8%
	2	Important but not critical threat	576	38.3%
	3	Not an important threat	90	6.0%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	14	1.0%
	System		0	.0%

Q5_11

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	126		
	Label	Instability and violence in countries with very weak governments :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Critical threat	398	26.4%
	2	Important but not critical threat	900	59.8%
	3	Not an important threat	193	12.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	13	.9%
	System		0	.0%

Q7_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	127		
	Label	Protecting weaker nations against foreign aggression :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	362	24.1%
	2	Somewhat important	953	63.3%
	3	Not important at all	178	11.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	11	.8%
	System		0	.0%

Q7_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	128		
	Label	Strengthening the United Nations :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	583	38.8%
	2	Somewhat important	600	39.9%
	3	Not important at all	312	20.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	9	.6%
	System		1	.1%

Q7_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	129		
	Label	Combating international terrorism :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	1007	67.0%
	2	Somewhat important	434	28.9%
	3	Not important at all	55	3.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	7	.5%
	System		0	.0%

Q7_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	130		
	Label	Maintaining superior military power worldwide :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	859	57.1%
	2	Somewhat important	536	35.6%
	3	Not important at all	102	6.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	9	.6%
	System		1	.1%

Q7_5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	131		
	Label	Protecting the jobs of American workers :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	1201	79.8%
	2	Somewhat important	250	16.6%
	3	Not important at all	45	3.0%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	8	.5%
	System		0	.0%

Q7_6

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	132		
	Label	Helping to bring a democratic form of government to other nations :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	258	17.2%
	2	Somewhat important	887	59.0%
	3	Not important at all	344	22.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	15	1.0%
	System		0	.0%

Q7_7

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	133		
	Label	Securing adequate supplies of energy :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	1199	79.7%
	2	Somewhat important	265	17.6%
	3	Not important at all	28	1.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	13	.9%
	System		0	.0%

Q7_8

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	134		
	Label	Controlling and reducing illegal immigration :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	913	60.7%
	2	Somewhat important	468	31.1%
	3	Not important at all	114	7.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	10	.7%
	System		0	.0%

Q7_9

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	135		
	Label	Limiting climate change :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	624	41.5%
	2	Somewhat important	598	39.8%
	3	Not important at all	268	17.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	14	.9%
	System		0	.0%

Q7_10

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	136		
	Label	Preventing the spread of nuclear weapons :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	1092	72.6%
	2	Somewhat important	368	24.5%
	3	Not important at all	29	1.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	15	1.0%
	System		0	.0%

Q7_11

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	137		
	Label	Promoting and defending human rights in other countries :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	461	30.6%
	2	Somewhat important	854	56.8%
	3	Not important at all	183	12.2%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	6	.4%
	System		0	.0%

Q7_12

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	138		
	Label	Combating world hunger :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	697	46.3%
	2	Somewhat important	672	44.7%
	3	Not important at all	126	8.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	9	.6%
	System		0	.0%

Q7_13

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	139		
	Label	Promoting international trade :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	510	33.9%
	2	Somewhat important	862	57.3%
	3	Not important at all	127	8.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	5	.3%
	System		1	.0%

Q7_14

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	140		
	Label	Improving America :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	1243	82.6%
	2	Somewhat important	225	15.0%
	3	Not important at all	28	1.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	9	.6%
	System		1	.0%

Q10

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	141		
	Label	Over the coming decades, which do you think will pose a more serious threat to the world--the effects of climate change and global warming or the effects of global terrorism?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Climate change	384	25.5%
	2	Global terrorism	615	40.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	501	33.3%
	-1	Refused	4	.3%
	System		1	.1%

Q10B

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	142		
	Label	You skipped the previous question. Please read this question and make a selection from a slightly different set of possible answers. Over the coming decades, which do you think will pose a more serious threat to the world-- the effects of climate cha		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Climate change	0	.0%
	2	Global terrorism	0	.0%
	3	Both equal threats	4	.2%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	1500	99.7%
	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	System		0	.0%

Q15

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	143		
	Label	Over the last few years do you think the ability of the U.S. to achieve its foreign policy goals has increased, decreased, or remained about the same.		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Increased	102	6.8%
	2	Decreased	532	35.4%
	3	Remained about the same	358	23.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	504	33.5%
	-1	Refused	8	.5%
	System		1	.1%

Q20_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	144		
	Label	Aid to education :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Expanded	618	41.0%
	2	Cut back	105	7.0%
	3	Kept about the same	281	18.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	498	33.1%
	-1	Refused	2	.2%
	System		1	.0%

Q20_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	145		
	Label	Defense spending :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Expanded	312	20.7%
	2	Cut back	285	19.0%
	3	Kept about the same	398	26.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	498	33.1%
	-1	Refused	11	.7%
	System		0	.0%

Q20_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	146		
	Label	Social security :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Expanded	600	39.9%
	2	Cut back	110	7.3%
	3	Kept about the same	291	19.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	498	33.1%
	-1	Refused	6	.4%
	System		0	.0%

Q20_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	147		
	Label	Military aid to other nations :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Expanded	90	6.0%
	2	Cut back	589	39.2%
	3	Kept about the same	327	21.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	498	33.1%
	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	System		1	.0%

Q20_5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	148		
	Label	Economic aid to other nations :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Expanded	83	5.5%
	2	Cut back	558	37.1%
	3	Kept about the same	365	24.2%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	498	33.1%
	-1	Refused	1	.1%
	System		1	.1%

Q20_6

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	149		
	Label	Health care :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Expanded	706	46.9%
	2	Cut back	91	6.1%
	3	Kept about the same	204	13.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	498	33.1%
	-1	Refused	6	.4%
	System		1	.1%

Q20_7

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	150		
	Label	Gathering Intelligence Information about other countries :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Expanded	409	27.2%
	2	Cut back	169	11.2%
	3	Kept about the same	427	28.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	498	33.1%
	-1	Refused	1	.1%
	System		1	.0%

Q20_8

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	151		
	Label	Homeland security :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Expanded	518	34.4%
	2	Cut back	140	9.3%
	3	Kept about the same	345	22.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	498	33.1%
	-1	Refused	3	.2%
	System		1	.0%

Q20_9

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	152		
	Label	'Improving public infrastructure such as highways, bridges and airports' :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Expanded	566	37.6%
	2	Cut back	74	4.9%
	3	Kept about the same	362	24.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	498	33.1%
	-1	Refused	5	.3%
	System		0	.0%

Q25_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	153		
	Label	The treaty that would prohibit nuclear weapon test explosions worldwide :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should participate	896	59.6%
	2	Should not participate	116	7.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	485	32.3%
	-1	Refused	7	.5%
	System		1	.1%

Q25_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	154		
	Label	'The agreement on the International Criminal Court that can try individuals for war crimes, genocide, or crimes against humanity if their own country won't try them' :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should participate	696	46.2%
	2	Should not participate	305	20.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	485	32.3%
	-1	Refused	19	1.2%
	System		1	.1%

Q25_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	155		
	Label	A new international treaty to address climate change by reducing green house gas emissions :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should participate	770	51.2%
	2	Should not participate	234	15.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	485	32.3%
	-1	Refused	15	1.0%
	System		1	.0%

Q26

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	156		
	Label	You've indicated the U.S. should participate in a treaty to counter climate change. Which of the following is closest to your position about the stance the U.S. should take?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	The United States should participate in the treaty even if it means making greater economic sacrifices than those made b	261	17.3%
	2	The United States should participate in the treaty only if it does not have to make greater economic sacrifices than tho	498	33.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	734	48.8%
	-1	Refused	11	.8%
	System		1	.1%

Q40

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	157		
	Label	In thinking about a new agreement on climate change, do you favor or oppose the U. S. providing technological and financial aid to developing countries like China and India to help them limit the growth of their emissions, if they agree to make efforts to r		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	483	32.1%
	2	Oppose	525	34.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	488	32.5%
	-1	Refused	9	.6%
	System		1	.1%

Q45

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	158		
	Label	Please select whether you agree or disagree with the following statement. When dealing with international problems, the U.S. should be more willing to make decisions within the United Nations even if this means that the United States will sometimes h		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Agree	518	34.4%
	2	Disagree	451	30.0%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	515	34.2%
	-1	Refused	21	1.4%
	System		1	.1%

Q50_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	159		
	Label	Giving the UN the power to regulate the international arms trade :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	557	37.0%
	2	Oppose	408	27.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	519	34.5%
	-1	Refused	21	1.4%
	System		1	.0%

Q50_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	160		
	Label	'Having a standing UN peacekeeping force selected, trained and commanded by the United Nations' :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	693	46.1%
	2	Oppose	282	18.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	519	34.5%
	-1	Refused	11	.7%
	System		1	.1%

Q50_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	161		
	Label	Giving the UN the power to fund its activities by imposing a small tax on such things as the international sale of arms or oil :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	453	30.1%
	2	Oppose	504	33.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	519	34.5%
	-1	Refused	28	1.9%
	System		1	.0%

Q50_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	162		
	Label	Giving the UN the authority to go into countries in order to investigate violations of human rights :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	719	47.8%
	2	Oppose	259	17.2%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	519	34.5%
	-1	Refused	8	.5%
	System		1	.1%

Q50_5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	163		
	Label	Creating an international marshals' service that could arrest leaders responsible for genocide :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	703	46.7%
	2	Oppose	264	17.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	519	34.5%
	-1	Refused	19	1.3%
	System		1	.1%

Q50_6

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	164		
	Label	Having a UN agency control access to all nuclear fuel in the world to ensure that none is used for weapons production :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	623	41.4%
	2	Oppose	344	22.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	519	34.5%
	-1	Refused	19	1.2%
	System		1	.1%

Q52_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	165		
	Label	Monitor whether countries are meeting their treaty obligations to limit their greenhouse gas emissions that contribute to climate change :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should be	689	45.8%
	2	Should not be	306	20.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	491	32.6%
	-1	Refused	18	1.2%
	System		0	.0%

Q52_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	166		
	Label	Monitor financial markets worldwide and report on potential crises :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should be	601	39.9%
	2	Should not be	388	25.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	491	32.6%
	-1	Refused	24	1.6%
	System		1	.0%

Q52_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	167		
	Label	Monitor the worldwide energy market and predict potential shortages :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should be	697	46.3%
	2	Should not be	301	20.0%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	491	32.6%
	-1	Refused	15	1.0%
	System		1	.0%

Q52_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	168		
	Label	Provide information and assistance to countries dealing with problems resulting from large scale migration of people across borders :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should be	573	38.1%
	2	Should not be	426	28.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	491	32.6%
	-1	Refused	14	.9%
	System		0	.0%

Q53_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	169		
	Label	India :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	794	52.8%
	2	Oppose	651	43.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	59	3.9%
	System		1	.1%

Q53_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	170		
	Label	Japan :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	1011	67.2%
	2	Oppose	445	29.6%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	48	3.2%
	System		0	.0%

Q53_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	171		
	Label	Germany :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	989	65.7%
	2	Oppose	469	31.2%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	46	3.1%
	System		0	.0%

Q53_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	172		
	Label	Brazil :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	796	52.9%
	2	Oppose	652	43.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	57	3.8%
	System		1	.1%

Q53_5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	173		
	Label	South Africa :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	706	46.9%
	2	Oppose	736	48.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	62	4.1%
	System		0	.0%

Q55

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	174		
	Label	The World Trade Organization was established to rule on disputes over trade treaties. If another country files a complaint with the World Trade Organization and it rules against the U. S., as a general rule, should the U. S. comply with that decision or not?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should comply	718	47.7%
	2	Should not comply	252	16.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	503	33.5%
	-1	Refused	32	2.1%
	System		1	.1%

Q60_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	175		
	Label	Muslim organizations :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	390	25.9%
	2	Oppose	1059	70.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	55	3.7%
	System		0	.0%

Q60_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	176		
	Label	Christian organizations :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	855	56.9%
	2	Oppose	610	40.6%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	39	2.6%
	System		1	.1%

Q60_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	177		
	Label	Jewish organizations :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	712	47.3%
	2	Oppose	753	50.0%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	39	2.6%
	System		0	.0%

Q60_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	178		
	Label	Interfaith organizations :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	783	52.0%
	2	Oppose	685	45.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	37	2.4%
	System		1	.0%

Q65

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	179		
	Label	Do you think that violent conflict between Muslims and Christians is inevitable, or that it is possible for them to find common ground?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	It is inevitable	417	27.7%
	2	It is possible to find common ground	587	39.0%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	497	33.0%
	-1	Refused	3	.2%
	System		1	.1%

Q66

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	180		
	Label	Do you think that religious values and institutions should or should not be openly discussed by U.S. government leaders as part of international diplomatic efforts?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should be	583	38.8%
	2	Should not be	435	28.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	469	31.2%
	-1	Refused	17	1.1%
	System		2	.1%

Q70

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	181		
	Label	Here are some positions about the possible use of nuclear weapons by the United States. Which one comes closest to yours?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	The U.S. should never use nuclear weapons under any circumstances	173	11.5%
	2	The U.S. should only use nuclear weapons in response to a nuclear attack.	592	39.3%
	3	In certain circumstances , the U.S. should use nuclear weapons even if it has not suffered a nuclear attack	252	16.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	469	31.2%
	-1	Refused	18	1.2%
	System		0	.0%

Q75

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	182		
	Label	Some people say that the UN Security Council has the responsibility to authorize the use of military force to protect people from severe human rights violations such as genocide, even against the will of their own government. Others say that the UN Security		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Does have responsibility	647	43.0%
	2	Does not have responsibility	288	19.2%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	532	35.3%
	-1	Refused	38	2.5%
	System		1	.0%

Q80

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	183		
	Label	The UN Security Council has asked Iran to stop enriching uranium. If Iran continues to enrich uranium do you think the UN Security Council should:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Not pressure Iran to stop enriching uranium	33	2.2%
	2	Continue diplomatic efforts to get Iran to stop enriching uranium	262	17.4%
	3	Impose economic sanctions on Iran	466	31.0%
	4	Authorize a military strike against Iran's nuclear energy facilities	191	12.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	534	35.5%
	-1	Refused	19	1.3%
	System		2	.1%

Q85

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	184		
	Label	If Iran were to allow UN inspectors permanent and full access throughout Iran, to make sure it is not developing nuclear weapons, do you think Iran should or should not be allowed to produce nuclear fuel for producing electricity?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should be allowed	565	37.6%
	2	Should not be allowed	411	27.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	500	33.3%
	-1	Refused	28	1.8%
	System		1	.1%

Q90_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	185		
	Label	If North Korea invaded South Korea :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	386	25.7%
	2	Oppose	532	35.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	556	37.0%
	-1	Refused	30	2.0%
	System		0	.0%

Q90_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	186		
	Label	If China invaded Taiwan :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	302	20.1%
	2	Oppose	614	40.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	556	37.0%
	-1	Refused	32	2.1%
	System		1	.1%

Q90_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	187		
	Label	To be part of an international peacekeeping force to enforce a peace agreement between Israel and the Palestinians :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	488	32.4%
	2	Oppose	443	29.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	556	37.0%
	-1	Refused	17	1.2%
	System		0	.0%

Q90_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	188		
	Label	To stop a government from committing genocide and killing large numbers of its own people :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	655	43.5%
	2	Oppose	268	17.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	556	37.0%
	-1	Refused	26	1.7%
	System		1	.0%

Q90_5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	189		
	Label	To ensure the oil supply :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	558	37.1%
	2	Oppose	364	24.2%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	556	37.0%
	-1	Refused	26	1.7%
	System		0	.0%

Q90_6

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	190		
	Label	To be a part of an international peacekeeping force to stop the killing in Darfur :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	583	38.8%
	2	Oppose	331	22.0%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	556	37.0%
	-1	Refused	34	2.3%
	System		0	.0%

Q92

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	191		
	Label	The United States currently has about 33,000 troops in Japan, including Okinawa. In your view, is that too many, too few, or about right?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Too many	548	36.4%
	2	Too few	39	2.6%
	3	About right	391	26.0%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	508	33.8%
	-1	Refused	18	1.2%
	System		1	.0%

Q95_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	192		
	Label	The threat of terrorism has been reduced by the war :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Agree	401	26.6%
	2	Disagree	616	41.0%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	456	30.3%
	-1	Refused	32	2.1%
	System		2	.1%

Q95_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	193		
	Label	The war cost hundreds of billions of dollars which could have been better spent on needs at home :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Agree	797	53.0%
	2	Disagree	221	14.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	456	30.3%
	-1	Refused	31	2.0%
	System		2	.1%

Q97

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	194		
	Label	If the U.S. pulled out most of its combat troops from Iraq what do you think would be the most likely consequence for Iraq over the next several years?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	There would be increased violence and greater instability	618	41.1%
	2	There would be no effect on stability and levels of violence	281	18.7%
	3	There would be decreased violence and greater stability	111	7.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	484	32.2%
	-1	Refused	11	.8%
	System		1	.1%

Q100

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	195		
	Label	In your opinion, should the United States withdraw most of its combat troops from Iraq right away, should the U.S. bring most of its troops home within the next two years, or should it leave combat troops in Iraq for as long as it takes to establish a more		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Should withdraw most of its combat troops right away	241	16.0%
	2	Should withdraw most of its combat troops within the next two years	427	28.4%
	3	Should leave combat troops in Iraq for as long as it takes to establish a more stable and secure Iraq	323	21.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	506	33.6%
	-1	Refused	7	.5%
	System		1	.0%

Q105

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	196		
	Label	As you may be aware, Pakistan possesses nuclear weapons. If the government of Pakistan fell into the hands of Islamic extremists, which option comes closest to your position on how the U.S. should respond?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	The U. S. should use military force to secure Pakistan's nuclear weapons only with UN approval	438	29.1%
	2	The U.S. should use military force to secure Pakistan's nuclear weapons even without UN approval	366	24.3%
	3	The U.S. should not use military force to secure Pakistan's nuclear weapons	184	12.2%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	491	32.6%
	-1	Refused	25	1.7%
	System		1	.1%

Q110

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	197		
	Label	Suppose the U.S. locates high-ranking members of terrorist groups operating in Pakistan that threaten the U.S. Do you think the U.S. should or should not take military action to capture or kill these terrorists even if the government of Pakistan does not g		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should	683	45.4%
	2	Should Not	294	19.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	503	33.4%
	-1	Refused	25	1.6%
	System		1	.1%

Q115

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	198		
	Label	Thinking about long-term military bases the U. S. has overseas, do you think the U.S. should have more bases overseas, fewer bases overseas, or about as many as we have now?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	More bases	122	8.1%
	2	Fewer bases	283	18.8%
	3	About as many as now	557	37.0%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	518	34.5%
	-1	Refused	23	1.5%
	System		1	.0%

Q120_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	199		
	Label	Egypt :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	164	10.9%
	2	Somewhat important	456	30.3%
	3	Not very important	299	19.9%
	4	Not at all important	67	4.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	482	32.0%
	-1	Refused	37	2.5%
	System		1	.1%

Q120_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	200		
	Label	Germany :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	278	18.5%
	2	Somewhat important	449	29.8%
	3	Not very important	167	11.1%
	4	Not at all important	37	2.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	542	36.0%
	-1	Refused	31	2.1%
	System		0	.0%

Q120_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	201		
	Label	Japan :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	451	30.0%
	2	Somewhat important	393	26.1%
	3	Not very important	85	5.6%
	4	Not at all important	38	2.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	509	33.8%
	-1	Refused	29	1.9%
	System		1	.1%

Q120_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	202		
	Label	Mexico :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	380	25.2%
	2	Somewhat important	392	26.1%
	3	Not very important	155	10.3%
	4	Not at all important	65	4.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	491	32.6%
	-1	Refused	22	1.5%
	System		2	.1%

Q120_5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	203		
	Label	Israel :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	407	27.1%
	2	Somewhat important	379	25.2%
	3	Not very important	163	10.8%
	4	Not at all important	53	3.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	473	31.4%
	-1	Refused	30	2.0%
	System		1	.1%

Q120_6

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	204		
	Label	Canada :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	534	35.5%
	2	Somewhat important	295	19.6%
	3	Not very important	119	7.9%
	4	Not at all important	32	2.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	503	33.4%
	-1	Refused	22	1.5%
	System		1	.1%

Q120_7

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	205		
	Label	Brazil :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	148	9.8%
	2	Somewhat important	466	31.0%
	3	Not very important	317	21.1%
	4	Not at all important	75	5.0%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	463	30.8%
	-1	Refused	35	2.3%
	System		0	.0%

Q120_8

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	206		
	Label	Russia :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	348	23.1%
	2	Somewhat important	428	28.4%
	3	Not very important	174	11.5%
	4	Not at all important	44	2.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	482	32.1%
	-1	Refused	29	1.9%
	System		0	.0%

Q120_9

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	207		
	Label	Indonesia :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	93	6.2%
	2	Somewhat important	386	25.7%
	3	Not very important	372	24.7%
	4	Not at all important	106	7.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	510	33.9%
	-1	Refused	37	2.5%
	System		0	.0%

Q120_10

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	208		
	Label	Afghanistan :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	247	16.4%
	2	Somewhat important	423	28.1%
	3	Not very important	220	14.6%
	4	Not at all important	89	5.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	497	33.1%
	-1	Refused	29	1.9%
	System		1	.1%

Q120_11

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	209		
	Label	Great Britain :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	596	39.6%
	2	Somewhat important	257	17.1%
	3	Not very important	79	5.3%
	4	Not at all important	36	2.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	508	33.7%
	-1	Refused	28	1.9%
	System		1	.1%

Q120_12

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	210		
	Label	Saudi Arabia :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	448	29.8%
	2	Somewhat important	372	24.7%
	3	Not very important	116	7.7%
	4	Not at all important	47	3.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	495	32.9%
	-1	Refused	26	1.7%
	System		1	.0%

Q120_13

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	211		
	Label	China :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	528	35.1%
	2	Somewhat important	335	22.2%
	3	Not very important	83	5.5%
	4	Not at all important	35	2.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	497	33.0%
	-1	Refused	27	1.8%
	System		2	.1%

Q120_14

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	212		
	Label	India :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	251	16.7%
	2	Somewhat important	454	30.2%
	3	Not very important	210	13.9%
	4	Not at all important	62	4.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	492	32.7%
	-1	Refused	36	2.4%
	System		2	.1%

Q120_15

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	213		
	Label	Iran :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	314	20.9%
	2	Somewhat important	386	25.7%
	3	Not very important	197	13.1%
	4	Not at all important	67	4.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	511	34.0%
	-1	Refused	30	2.0%
	System		1	.1%

Q120_16

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	214		
	Label	Pakistan :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	289	19.2%
	2	Somewhat important	396	26.3%
	3	Not very important	211	14.0%
	4	Not at all important	49	3.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	530	35.2%
	-1	Refused	30	2.0%
	System		1	.0%

Q120_17

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	215		
	Label	Venezuela :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	158	10.5%
	2	Somewhat important	389	25.8%
	3	Not very important	310	20.6%
	4	Not at all important	92	6.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	522	34.7%
	-1	Refused	34	2.2%
	System		1	.1%

Q120_18

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	216		
	Label	France :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	214	14.2%
	2	Somewhat important	383	25.4%
	3	Not very important	259	17.2%
	4	Not at all important	99	6.6%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	520	34.5%
	-1	Refused	30	2.0%
	System		1	.1%

Q125_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	217		
	Label	Germany :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should have	582	38.7%
	2	Should not have	375	24.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	520	34.5%
	-1	Refused	28	1.8%
	System		1	.1%

Q125_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	218		
	Label	Turkey :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should have	487	32.4%
	2	Should not have	463	30.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	520	34.5%
	-1	Refused	35	2.3%
	System		2	.1%

Q125_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	219		
	Label	Japan :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should have	569	37.8%
	2	Should not have	383	25.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	520	34.5%
	-1	Refused	32	2.1%
	System		1	.1%

Q125_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	220		
	Label	Iraq :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should have	560	37.2%
	2	Should not have	395	26.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	520	34.5%
	-1	Refused	30	2.0%
	System		1	.1%

Q125_5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	221		
	Label	South Korea :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should have	624	41.5%
	2	Should not have	336	22.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	520	34.5%
	-1	Refused	25	1.7%
	System		1	.1%

Q125_6

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	222		
	Label	Afghanistan :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should have	565	37.5%
	2	Should not have	390	25.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	520	34.5%
	-1	Refused	29	2.0%
	System		1	.1%

Q125_7

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	223		
	Label	Pakistan :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should have	484	32.2%
	2	Should not have	465	30.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	520	34.5%
	-1	Refused	35	2.3%
	System		1	.1%

Q125_8

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	224		
	Label	Guantanamo Bay in Cuba :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should have	591	39.3%
	2	Should not have	370	24.6%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	520	34.5%
	-1	Refused	24	1.6%
	System		1	.1%

Q130_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	225		
	Label	U.S. air strikes against terrorist training camps and other facilities :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	800	53.2%
	2	Oppose	190	12.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	496	33.0%
	-1	Refused	18	1.2%
	System		1	.0%

Q130_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	226		
	Label	Attacks by U. S. ground troops against terrorist training camps and other facilities :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	726	48.2%
	2	Oppose	266	17.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	496	33.0%
	-1	Refused	17	1.1%
	System		0	.0%

Q130_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	227		
	Label	Assassination of individual terrorist leaders :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	689	45.8%
	2	Oppose	295	19.6%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	496	33.0%
	-1	Refused	24	1.6%
	System		0	.0%

Q130_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	228		
	Label	Trial of suspected terrorists in the International Criminal Court :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	799	53.1%
	2	Oppose	190	12.6%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	496	33.0%
	-1	Refused	19	1.3%
	System		0	.0%

Q130_5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	229		
	Label	Helping poor countries develop their economies :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	691	45.9%
	2	Oppose	299	19.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	496	33.0%
	-1	Refused	19	1.2%
	System		1	.1%

Q130_6

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	230		
	Label	Working through the UN to strengthen international laws against terrorism and to make sure UN members enforce them :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	846	56.3%
	2	Oppose	147	9.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	496	33.0%
	-1	Refused	15	1.0%
	System		1	.0%

Q130_7

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	231		
	Label	Making a major effort to be even-handed in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	672	44.7%
	2	Oppose	309	20.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	496	33.0%
	-1	Refused	28	1.8%
	System		1	.1%

Q130_8

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	232		
	Label	Using torture to extract information from suspected terrorists :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	367	24.4%
	2	Oppose	615	40.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	496	33.0%
	-1	Refused	27	1.8%
	System		1	.1%

Q135_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	233		
	Label	The World Trade Organization (WTO) :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	966		
	Missing	538		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	51.71		
	Standard Deviation	26.137		
	Percentile 25	40.00		
	Percentile 50	50.00		
	Percentile 75	70.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	481	31.9%
	-1	Refused	58	3.8%

Q135_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	234		
	Label	The United Nations :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	962		
	Missing	542		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	53.93		
	Standard Deviation	29.988		
	Percentile 25	30.00		
	Percentile 50	50.00		
	Percentile 75	76.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	499	33.1%
	-1	Refused	44	2.9%

Q135_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	235		
	Label	The World Bank :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	933		
	Missing	571		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	47.87		
	Standard Deviation	25.386		
	Percentile 25	35.00		
	Percentile 50	50.00		
	Percentile 75	60.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	515	34.2%
	-1	Refused	57	3.8%

Q135_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	236		
	Label	NATO :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	886		
	Missing	618		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	56.86		
	Standard Deviation	25.637		
	Percentile 25	50.00		
	Percentile 50	50.00		
	Percentile 75	75.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	566	37.6%
	-1	Refused	53	3.5%

Q135_5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	237		
	Label	The International Monetary Fund (IMF) :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	968		
	Missing	537		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	44.37		
	Standard Deviation	24.319		
	Percentile 25	30.00		
	Percentile 50	50.00		
	Percentile 75	50.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	445	29.6%
	-1	Refused	92	6.1%

Q135_6

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	238		
	Label	International human rights groups :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	939		
	Missing	565		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	58.74		
	Standard Deviation	27.611		
	Percentile 25	50.00		
	Percentile 50	60.00		
	Percentile 75	80.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	518	34.4%
	-1	Refused	48	3.2%

Q135_7

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	239		
	Label	International Atomic Energy Agency (new) :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
	N			
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Valid	927		
	Missing	578		
	Mean	51.80		
	Standard Deviation	24.428		
	Percentile 25	49.00		
Labeled Values	Percentile 50	50.00		
	Percentile 75	68.00		
	-2	Not Asked	497	33.0%
	-1	Refused	81	5.4%

Q135_8

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	240		
	Label	The World Health Organization (WHO) :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
	N			
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Valid	944		
	Missing	561		
	Mean	60.62		
	Standard Deviation	27.168		
	Percentile 25	50.00		
Labeled Values	Percentile 50	60.00		
	Percentile 75	80.00		
	-2	Not Asked	505	33.6%
	-1	Refused	56	3.7%

Q135_9

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	241		
	Label	International Criminal Court (New) :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	951		
	Missing	553		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	51.50		
	Standard Deviation	26.957		
	Percentile 25	40.00		
	Percentile 50	50.00		
	Percentile 75	70.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	491	32.6%
	-1	Refused	63	4.2%

Q140

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	242		
	Label	Please select if you agree or disagree with the following statement: The U.S. is playing the role of world policeman more than it should be.		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Agree	771	51.3%
	2	Disagree	229	15.2%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	501	33.3%
	-1	Refused	3	.2%
	System		1	.0%

Q150_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	243		
	Label	Pakistan :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
	N			
	Valid	974		
	Missing	530		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	34.82		
	Standard Deviation	23.583		
	Percentile 25	15.00		
	Percentile 50	40.00		
	Percentile 75	50.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	469	31.2%
	-1	Refused	61	4.1%
	1	Agree	0	.0%
	2	Disagree	1	.1%

Q150_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	244		
	Label	Germany :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
	N			
	Valid	1016		
	Missing	488		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	57.36		
	Standard Deviation	24.239		
	Percentile 25	50.00		
	Percentile 50	50.00		
	Percentile 75	75.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	433	28.8%
	-1	Refused	56	3.7%
	1	Agree	3	.2%
	2	Disagree	0	.0%

Q150_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	245		
	Label	Mexico :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1032		
	Missing	473		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	49.70		
	Standard Deviation	26.710		
	Percentile 25	30.00		
	Percentile 50	50.00		
	Percentile 75	70.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	423	28.1%
	-1	Refused	50	3.3%
	1	Agree	4	.3%
	2	Disagree	3	.2%

Q150_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	246		
	Label	Israel :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1012		
	Missing	493		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	57.95		
	Standard Deviation	28.084		
	Percentile 25	40.00		
	Percentile 50	50.00		
	Percentile 75	80.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	432	28.7%
	-1	Refused	61	4.0%
	1	Agree	1	.1%
	2	Disagree	1	.1%

Q150_5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	247		
	Label	Great Britain :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	992		
	Missing	513		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	70.36		
	Standard Deviation	25.174		
	Percentile 25	50.00		
	Percentile 50	75.00		
	Percentile 75	90.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	471	31.3%
	-1	Refused	42	2.8%
	1	Agree	2	.1%
	2	Disagree	0	.0%

Q150_6

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	248		
	Label	China :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	990		
	Missing	514		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	40.87		
	Standard Deviation	24.394		
	Percentile 25	25.00		
	Percentile 50	50.00		
	Percentile 75	50.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	461	30.7%
	-1	Refused	53	3.5%
	1	Agree	7	.5%
	2	Disagree	5	.3%

Q150_7

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	249		
	Label	Saudi Arabia :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
	N	Valid	1028	
Central Tendency and Dispersion		Missing	476	
	Mean	38.88		
	Standard Deviation	25.087		
	Percentile 25	20.00		
	Percentile 50	40.00		
Labeled Values		Percentile 75	50.00	
	-2	Not Asked	418	27.8%
	-1	Refused	58	3.8%
	1	Agree	10	.7%
	2	Disagree	2	.1%

Q150_8

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	250		
	Label	France :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
	N	Valid	984	
Central Tendency and Dispersion		Missing	521	
	Mean	48.73		
	Standard Deviation	27.483		
	Percentile 25	30.00		
	Percentile 50	50.00		
Labeled Values		Percentile 75	70.00	
	-2	Not Asked	465	30.9%
	-1	Refused	55	3.7%
	1	Agree	1	.1%
	2	Disagree	1	.1%

Q150_9

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	251		
	Label	India :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
	N			
	Valid	1003		
	Missing	502		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	47.47		
	Standard Deviation	23.635		
	Percentile 25	40.00		
	Percentile 50	50.00		
	Percentile 75	60.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	448	29.8%
	-1	Refused	54	3.6%
	1	Agree	4	.3%
	2	Disagree	1	.1%

Q150_10

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	252		
	Label	Japan :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
	N			
	Valid	991		
	Missing	514		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	58.94		
	Standard Deviation	26.033		
	Percentile 25	50.00		
	Percentile 50	60.00		
	Percentile 75	80.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	457	30.4%
	-1	Refused	57	3.8%
	1	Agree	1	.1%
	2	Disagree	0	.0%

Q150_11

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	253		
	Label	Russia :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
	N			
	Valid	991		
	Missing	513		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	46.91		
	Standard Deviation	22.875		
	Percentile 25	30.00		
	Percentile 50	50.00		
	Percentile 75	60.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	455	30.2%
	-1	Refused	59	3.9%
	1	Agree	0	.0%
	2	Disagree	2	.1%

Q150_12

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	254		
	Label	Venezuela :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
	N			
	Valid	1044		
	Missing	461		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	39.39		
	Standard Deviation	23.993		
	Percentile 25	20.00		
	Percentile 50	50.00		
	Percentile 75	50.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	401	26.6%
	-1	Refused	60	4.0%
	1	Agree	3	.2%
	2	Disagree	4	.3%

Q150_13

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	255		
	Label	Iran :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1023		
	Missing	482		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	26.77		
	Standard Deviation	23.919		
	Percentile 25	2.00		
	Percentile 50	25.00		
	Percentile 75	50.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	438	29.1%
	-1	Refused	43	2.9%
	1	Agree	12	.8%
	2	Disagree	10	.6%

Q150_14

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	256		
	Label	Cuba :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1005		
	Missing	499		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	35.64		
	Standard Deviation	23.239		
	Percentile 25	15.00		
	Percentile 50	40.00		
	Percentile 75	50.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	449	29.8%
	-1	Refused	51	3.4%
	1	Agree	7	.4%
	2	Disagree	1	.1%

Q150_15

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	257		
	Label	Iraq :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1008		
	Missing	497		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	33.69		
	Standard Deviation	25.458		
	Percentile 25	10.00		
	Percentile 50	33.00		
	Percentile 75	50.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	445	29.6%
	-1	Refused	51	3.4%
	1	Agree	6	.4%
	2	Disagree	6	.4%

Q150_16

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	258		
	Label	Palestinian Authority :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1010		
	Missing	495		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	31.74		
	Standard Deviation	24.653		
	Percentile 25	10.00		
	Percentile 50	30.00		
	Percentile 75	50.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	432	28.7%
	-1	Refused	63	4.2%
	1	Agree	13	.9%
	2	Disagree	8	.5%

Q150_17

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	259		
	Label	South Africa :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
	N			
	Valid	1026		
	Missing	479		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	45.76		
	Standard Deviation	24.297		
	Percentile 25	30.00		
	Percentile 50	50.00		
	Percentile 75	60.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	427	28.4%
	-1	Refused	52	3.4%
	1	Agree	2	.2%
	2	Disagree	2	.1%

Q155_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	260		
	Label	The U.S. :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	0	10	.7%
	2	1	2	.1%
	3	2	2	.2%
	4	3	3	.2%
	5	4	11	.7%
	6	5	65	4.3%
	7	6	34	2.3%
	8	7	87	5.8%
	9	8	177	11.8%
	10	9	193	12.8%
	11	10	400	26.6%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	506	33.6%
	-1	Refused	15	1.0%
	System		2	.1%

Q155_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	261		
	Label	Russia :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	0	31	2.0%
	2	1	8	.6%
	3	2	16	1.1%
	4	3	43	2.9%
	5	4	71	4.7%
	6	5	223	14.8%
	7	6	156	10.4%
	8	7	197	13.1%
	9	8	105	7.0%
	10	9	54	3.6%
	11	10	79	5.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	506	33.6%
	-1	Refused	16	1.1%
	System		2	.1%

Q155_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	262		
	Label	Japan :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	0	33	2.2%
	2	1	6	.4%
	3	2	12	.8%
	4	3	29	1.9%
	5	4	53	3.5%
	6	5	170	11.3%
	7	6	158	10.5%
	8	7	187	12.4%
	9	8	153	10.2%
	10	9	85	5.6%
	11	10	96	6.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	506	33.6%
	-1	Refused	16	1.0%
	System		2	.1%

Q155_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	263		
	Label	Brazil :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	0	45	3.0%
	2	1	34	2.3%
	3	2	64	4.3%
	4	3	123	8.2%
	5	4	146	9.7%
	6	5	322	21.4%
	7	6	109	7.2%
	8	7	82	5.5%
	9	8	36	2.4%
	10	9	5	.4%
	11	10	12	.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	506	33.6%
	-1	Refused	19	1.2%
	System		2	.1%

Q155_5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	264		
	Label	China :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	0	31	2.1%
	2	1	8	.5%
	3	2	8	.6%
	4	3	34	2.2%
	5	4	38	2.5%
	6	5	149	9.9%
	7	6	103	6.8%
	8	7	177	11.7%
	9	8	184	12.2%
	10	9	113	7.5%
	11	10	137	9.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	506	33.6%
	-1	Refused	18	1.2%
	System		2	.1%

Q155_6

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	265		
	Label	India :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	0	55	3.6%
	2	1	13	.9%
	3	2	47	3.1%
	4	3	76	5.1%
	5	4	116	7.7%
	6	5	257	17.1%
	7	6	168	11.2%
	8	7	107	7.1%
	9	8	73	4.9%
	10	9	39	2.6%
	11	10	28	1.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	506	33.6%
	-1	Refused	20	1.3%
	System		2	.1%

Q155_7

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	266		
	Label	European Union as a whole :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	0	41	2.7%
	2	1	4	.3%
	3	2	6	.4%
	4	3	32	2.2%
	5	4	44	2.9%
	6	5	168	11.2%
	7	6	100	6.6%
	8	7	159	10.6%
	9	8	187	12.5%
	10	9	106	7.0%
	11	10	133	8.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	506	33.6%
	-1	Refused	17	1.2%
	System		1	.1%

Q155_8

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	267		
	Label	Great Britain :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	0	34	2.2%
	2	1	8	.5%
	3	2	11	.8%
	4	3	21	1.4%
	5	4	46	3.1%
	6	5	152	10.1%
	7	6	102	6.8%
	8	7	174	11.6%
	9	8	194	12.9%
	10	9	116	7.7%
	11	10	123	8.2%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	506	33.6%
	-1	Refused	17	1.1%
	System		1	.1%

Q155_9

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	268		
	Label	France :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	0	55	3.7%
	2	1	30	2.0%
	3	2	49	3.2%
	4	3	75	5.0%
	5	4	97	6.5%
	6	5	247	16.4%
	7	6	150	9.9%
	8	7	124	8.2%
	9	8	78	5.2%
	10	9	25	1.7%
	11	10	49	3.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	506	33.6%
	-1	Refused	20	1.3%
	System		2	.1%

Q160

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	269		
	Label	Do you think the U.S. should or should not support a country becoming a democracy if there is a high likelihood that the people will elect an Islamic fundamentalist leader?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should	385	25.6%
	2	Should not	577	38.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	498	33.1%
	-1	Refused	45	3.0%
	System		1	.1%

Q165

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	270		
	Label	Turning to something else, do you believe that globalization, especially the increasing connections of our economy with others around the world, is mostly good or mostly bad for the United States?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Mostly Good	572	38.0%
	2	Mostly Bad	389	25.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	508	33.8%
	-1	Refused	35	2.3%
	System		1	.0%

Q170

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	271		
	Label	Which of the following three positions comes closest to your point of view about lowering trade barriers such as tariffs?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	I favor agreements to lower trade barriers provided the government has programs to help workers who lose their jobs	492	32.7%
	2	I favor agreements to lower trade barriers, but I oppose government programs to help workers who lose their jobs	133	8.9%
	3	I oppose agreements to lower trade barriers	342	22.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	492	32.7%
	-1	Refused	46	3.1%
	System		1	.0%

Q175_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	272		
	Label	The U.S. economy :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Good	465	30.9%
	2	Bad	512	34.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	490	32.6%
	-1	Refused	37	2.4%
	System		1	.1%

Q175_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	273		
	Label	American companies :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Good	529	35.2%
	2	Bad	443	29.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	490	32.6%
	-1	Refused	42	2.8%
	System		0	.0%

Q175_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	274		
	Label	Consumers like you :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Good	572	38.0%
	2	Bad	405	26.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	490	32.6%
	-1	Refused	37	2.4%
	System		1	.0%

Q175_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	275		
	Label	Creating jobs in the U.S. :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Good	383	25.5%
	2	Bad	592	39.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	490	32.6%
	-1	Refused	39	2.6%
	System		0	.0%

Q175_5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	276		
	Label	The environment :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Good	446	29.6%
	2	Bad	530	35.2%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	490	32.6%
	-1	Refused	39	2.6%
	System		1	.1%

Q175_6

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	277		
	Label	Job security for American workers :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Good	323	21.5%
	2	Bad	656	43.6%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	490	32.6%
	-1	Refused	35	2.4%
	System		1	.0%

Q175_7

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	278		
	Label	Your own standard of living :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Good	512	34.0%
	2	Bad	463	30.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	490	32.6%
	-1	Refused	39	2.6%
	System		1	.1%

Q175_8

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	279		
	Label	The next generation of Americans :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Good	481	32.0%
	2	Bad	496	32.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	490	32.6%
	-1	Refused	37	2.5%
	System		1	.0%

Q180_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	280		
	Label	The countries of the European Union :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Practice fair trade	654	43.5%
	2	Practice unfair trade	289	19.2%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	486	32.3%
	-1	Refused	75	5.0%
	System		1	.1%

Q180_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	281		
	Label	China :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Practice fair trade	264	17.6%
	2	Practice unfair trade	681	45.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	486	32.3%
	-1	Refused	73	4.9%
	System		1	.1%

Q180_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	282		
	Label	Mexico :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Practice fair trade	488	32.5%
	2	Practice unfair trade	454	30.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	486	32.3%
	-1	Refused	77	5.1%
	System		2	.1%

Q180_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	283		
	Label	Canada :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Practice fair trade	828	55.0%
	2	Practice unfair trade	121	8.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	486	32.3%
	-1	Refused	70	4.7%
	System		1	.0%

Q180_5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	284		
	Label	Japan :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Practice fair trade	582	38.7%
	2	Practice unfair trade	362	24.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	486	32.3%
	-1	Refused	75	5.0%
	System		1	.1%

Q180_6

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	285		
	Label	India :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Practice fair trade	466	31.0%
	2	Practice unfair trade	460	30.6%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	486	32.3%
	-1	Refused	93	6.2%
	System		1	.1%

Q185_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	286		
	Label	Cuba :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	445	29.6%
	2	Oppose	534	35.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	503	33.4%
	-1	Refused	23	1.5%
	System		1	.1%

Q185_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	287		
	Label	Iran :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	211	14.1%
	2	Oppose	755	50.2%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	503	33.4%
	-1	Refused	35	2.3%
	System		1	.1%

Q185_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	288		
	Label	North Korea :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	249	16.5%
	2	Oppose	722	48.0%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	503	33.4%
	-1	Refused	31	2.0%
	System		1	.0%

Q190_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	289		
	Label	The U.S. economy :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Good	400	26.6%
	2	Bad	524	34.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	545	36.2%
	-1	Refused	35	2.3%
	System		1	.1%

Q190_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	290		
	Label	Consumers like you :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Good	510	33.9%
	2	Bad	414	27.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	545	36.2%
	-1	Refused	35	2.4%
	System		1	.0%

Q190_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	291		
	Label	The Mexican Economy :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Good	673	44.7%
	2	Bad	234	15.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	545	36.2%
	-1	Refused	53	3.5%
	System		0	.0%

Q190_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	292		
	Label	Job security for American workers :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Good	305	20.3%
	2	Bad	613	40.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	545	36.2%
	-1	Refused	42	2.8%
	System		1	.1%

Q195

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	293		
	Label	Should legal immigration into the United States be kept at its present level, increased or decreased?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Kept at present level	394	26.2%
	2	Increased	153	10.1%
	3	Decreased	461	30.6%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	491	32.6%
	-1	Refused	6	.4%
	System		1	.1%

Q200

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	294		
	Label	Do you think that it is more likely that someday China's economy will grow to be as large as the U.S. economy or that the U. S. economy will always stay larger than China's?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	China's economy will grow as large as the U.S. economy	758	50.4%
	2	U.S. economy will always stay larger than China's	227	15.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	501	33.3%
	-1	Refused	18	1.2%
	System		1	.1%

Q205

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	295		
	Label	If China's economy were to grow to be as large as the U.S. economy, do you think that would be mostly positive, mostly negative, or equally positive and negative?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Mostly positive	64	4.2%
	2	Mostly negative	424	28.2%
	3	Equally positive and negative	518	34.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	488	32.5%
	-1	Refused	10	.7%
	System		1	.0%

Q210

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	296		
	Label	Do you think the U.S. loans more money to China or that China loans more money to the U.S.?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	U.S. loans more to China	339	22.5%
	2	China loans more to U.S.	399	26.5%
	3	They loan each other about the same amount of money	200	13.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	510	33.9%
	-1	Refused	57	3.8%
	System		1	.1%

Q215a

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	297		
	Label	In dealing with the rise of China's power, do you think the United States should:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Undertake friendly cooperation and engagement with China	633	42.1%
	2	Actively work to limit the growth of China's power	326	21.6%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	513	34.1%
	-1	Refused	32	2.2%
	System		1	.1%

Q216

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	298		
	Label	Do you think the United States and Japan should or should not work together to limit the rise of Chinese power in the years ahead?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes, they should	402	26.7%
	2	No, they shouldn't	0	.0%
	3	No, they shouldn't	309	20.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	762	50.7%
	-1	Refused	32	2.1%
	System		0	.0%

Q217

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	299		
	Label	As China becomes increasingly powerful in the East Asia region, do you think the U.S. should		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Seek to strengthen its alliance with Japan so as to offset China's power	243	16.2%
	2	Downplay its alliance with Japan so as to improve U. S. relations with China	68	4.5%
	3	Make no change in its alliance with Japan	411	27.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	742	49.3%
	-1	Refused	40	2.6%
	System		1	.1%

Q218_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	300		
	Label	If Japan were able to engage in a wider range of military operations it could more effectively help the U.S. in dealing with areas of instability or potential conflicts around the world. :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Agree	660	43.9%
	2	Disagree	279	18.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	496	32.9%
	-1	Refused	70	4.7%
	System		1	.0%

Q218_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	301		
	Label	'Japan's constitution should not be changed to allow more military activity because it might lead to increased tensions with Japan's neighbors, including China, which were attacked by Japan during World War II.' :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Agree	454	30.2%
	2	Disagree	479	31.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	496	32.9%
	-1	Refused	76	5.1%
	System		1	.1%

Q218_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	302		
	Label	'With China's growing military power and the threat from North Korea, Japan needs to be freer to project its military power in the region.' :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Agree	665	44.2%
	2	Disagree	279	18.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	496	32.9%
	-1	Refused	65	4.3%
	System		1	.0%

Q218_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	303		
	Label	'If Japan were to build up its military, this would probably lead to an arms race with China, which could be destabilizing for Asia.' :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Agree	498	33.1%
	2	Disagree	445	29.6%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	496	32.9%
	-1	Refused	66	4.4%
	System		1	.1%

Q218A

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	304		
	Label	So now having heard these arguments, do you favor or oppose Japan changing its Constitution to allow Japan to engage in a wider range of military activities?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Favor	572	38.0%
	2	Oppose	379	25.2%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	496	32.9%
	-1	Refused	59	3.9%
	System		1	.1%

Q219_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	305		
	Label	Participate in international peacekeeping operations :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	In favor	842	56.0%
	2	Not in favor	130	8.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	500	33.2%
	-1	Refused	32	2.1%
	System		0	.0%

Q219_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	306		
	Label	Participate in international combat mission in places like Iraq :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	In favor	669	44.4%
	2	Not in favor	297	19.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	500	33.2%
	-1	Refused	38	2.5%
	System		0	.0%

Q219_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	307		
	Label	'Undertake independent combat missions consistent with international law, just like any other country' :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	In favor	689	45.8%
	2	Not in favor	279	18.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	500	33.2%
	-1	Refused	37	2.4%
	System		1	.1%

Q220_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	308		
	Label	Japan developing nuclear weapons would allow it to respond to China's growing military power and the potential threat from North Korea :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Agree	460	30.6%
	2	Disagree	457	30.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	508	33.8%
	-1	Refused	80	5.3%
	System		1	.1%

Q220_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	309		
	Label	Japan developing nuclear weapons would reduce the burden on the United States of defending it from attack :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Agree	331	22.0%
	2	Disagree	590	39.2%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	508	33.8%
	-1	Refused	76	5.0%
	System		2	.1%

Q220_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	310		
	Label	Japan developing nuclear weapons would create the possibility that Japan's rivalry with China could escalate into a nuclear war :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Agree	639	42.5%
	2	Disagree	286	19.0%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	508	33.8%
	-1	Refused	71	4.7%
	System		1	.1%

Q220_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	311		
	Label	'Japan developing nuclear weapons would violate commitments under the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty and encourage other countries, such as Iran, to develop nuclear weapons' :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Agree	696	46.3%
	2	Disagree	221	14.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	508	33.8%
	-1	Refused	79	5.3%
	System		1	.1%

Q221

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	312		
	Label	So now having heard these arguments, do you think that Japan should or should not develop nuclear weapons?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should	143	9.5%
	2	Should not	826	54.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	508	33.8%
	-1	Refused	28	1.9%
	System		1	.1%

Q223_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	313		
	Label	Its economic power :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	488	32.4%
	2	Somewhat important	383	25.4%
	3	Not very important	51	3.4%
	4	Not important at all	32	2.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	504	33.5%
	-1	Refused	46	3.1%
	System		0	.0%

Q223_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	314		
	Label	Its military strength :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	174	11.5%
	2	Somewhat important	405	26.9%
	3	Not very important	268	17.8%
	4	Not important at all	100	6.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	504	33.5%
	-1	Refused	53	3.5%
	System		0	.0%

Q223_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	315		
	Label	Its democratic system :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	356	23.6%
	2	Somewhat important	423	28.1%
	3	Not very important	132	8.8%
	4	Not important at all	36	2.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	504	33.5%
	-1	Refused	54	3.6%
	System		1	.1%

Q223_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	316		
	Label	Its leadership in Asia :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	391	26.0%
	2	Somewhat important	431	28.7%
	3	Not very important	95	6.3%
	4	Not important at all	37	2.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	504	33.5%
	-1	Refused	46	3.1%
	System		0	.0%

Q223_5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	317		
	Label	Its technology and innovation :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	652	43.3%
	2	Somewhat important	249	16.5%
	3	Not very important	30	2.0%
	4	Not important at all	29	2.0%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	504	33.5%
	-1	Refused	40	2.7%
	System		0	.0%

Q223_6

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	318		
	Label	Its economic assistance to other countries :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very important	305	20.2%
	2	Somewhat important	468	31.1%
	3	Not very important	139	9.2%
	4	Not important at all	40	2.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	504	33.5%
	-1	Refused	49	3.2%
	System		1	.1%

Q224

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	319		
	Label	Thinking about what will happen in the next 50 years, do you believe that:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	The United States will continue to be the world's leading power	347	23.1%
	2	Another nation will become as powerful as the United States	433	28.8%
	3	The United States will be surpassed in power by another nation	218	14.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	499	33.2%
	-1	Refused	7	.5%
	System		1	.1%

Q225_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	320		
	Label	Supporting open trade around the world :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Very Important	319	21.2%
	2	Somewhat important	492	32.7%
	3	Not Very important	130	8.6%
	4	Not important at all	48	3.2%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	490	32.5%
	-1	Refused	26	1.7%
	System		1	.1%

Q225_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	321		
	Label	Improving public education :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Very Important	718	47.7%
	2	Somewhat important	226	15.0%
	3	Not Very important	31	2.1%
	4	Not important at all	22	1.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	490	32.5%
	-1	Refused	18	1.2%
	System		1	.1%

Q225_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	322		
	Label	Providing universal health care :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very Important	479	31.9%
	2	Somewhat important	268	17.8%
	3	Not Very important	135	9.0%
	4	Not important at all	105	7.0%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	490	32.5%
	-1	Refused	27	1.8%
	System		1	.1%

Q225_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	323		
	Label	Reducing federal budget deficits :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very Important	687	45.6%
	2	Somewhat important	267	17.7%
	3	Not Very important	25	1.7%
	4	Not important at all	19	1.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	490	32.5%
	-1	Refused	18	1.2%
	System		1	.1%

Q225_5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	324		
	Label	Continuing high levels of legal immigration :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very Important	253	16.8%
	2	Somewhat important	338	22.5%
	3	Not Very important	264	17.5%
	4	Not important at all	140	9.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	490	32.5%
	-1	Refused	20	1.3%
	System		1	.1%

Q225_6

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	325		
	Label	Improving border security :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very Important	610	40.5%
	2	Somewhat important	283	18.8%
	3	Not Very important	71	4.7%
	4	Not important at all	29	1.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	490	32.5%
	-1	Refused	22	1.4%
	System		1	.1%

Q225_7

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	326		
	Label	Increasing public spending on infrastructure such as bridges and airports :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very Important	416	27.7%
	2	Somewhat important	432	28.7%
	3	Not Very important	118	7.8%
	4	Not important at all	27	1.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	490	32.5%
	-1	Refused	21	1.4%
	System		1	.1%

Q225_8

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	327		
	Label	Encouraging foreign investors to invest in U.S. companies and projects :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very Important	410	27.2%
	2	Somewhat important	409	27.2%
	3	Not Very important	112	7.4%
	4	Not important at all	58	3.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	490	32.5%
	-1	Refused	26	1.8%
	System		1	.1%

Q225_9

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	328		
	Label	Investing in renewable energy :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Very Important	749	49.8%
	2	Somewhat important	188	12.5%
	3	Not Very important	38	2.5%
	4	Not important at all	17	1.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	490	32.5%
	-1	Refused	23	1.5%
	System		1	.1%

Q226

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	329		
	Label	In your view, which continent is more important to the U.S. - Asia or Europe?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Asia	419	27.9%
	2	Europe	539	35.8%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	510	33.9%
	-1	Refused	37	2.5%
	System		1	.1%

Q226B

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	330		
	Label	You skipped the previous question. Please read this question and make a selection from a slightly different set of possible answers. In your view, which continent is more important to the U.S. - Asia or Europe?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Asia	1	.1%
	2	Europe	2	.1%
	3	Both equally important	32	2.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	1467	97.5%
	-1	Refused	2	.1%
	System		1	.1%

Q231

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	331		
	Label	In terms of American vital interests today, which country is more important to the United States-Japan or China?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	China	512	34.0%
	2	Japan	443	29.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	500	33.2%
	-1	Refused	50	3.3%
	System		1	.0%

Q231B

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	332		
	Label	You skipped the previous question. Please read this question and make a selection from a slightly different set of possible answers. In terms of American vital interests today, which country is more important to the United States-Japan or China?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	China	1	.0%
	2	Japan	0	.0%
	3	Both equally important	40	2.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	1455	96.7%
	-1	Refused	9	.6%
	System		2	.1%

Q235_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	333		
	Label	The country :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Good	359	23.9%
	2	Bad	581	38.6%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	534	35.5%
	-1	Refused	30	2.0%
	System		1	.1%

Q235_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	334		
	Label	The U.S. economy :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Good	354	23.5%
	2	Bad	587	39.0%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	534	35.5%
	-1	Refused	30	2.0%
	System		1	.1%

Q235_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	335		
	Label	American companies :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Good	479	31.9%
	2	Bad	465	30.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	534	35.5%
	-1	Refused	26	1.7%
	System		1	.1%

Q235_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	336		
	Label	Creating jobs in the U.S. :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Good	293	19.5%
	2	Bad	646	42.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	534	35.5%
	-1	Refused	32	2.1%
	System		1	.1%

Q235_5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	337		
	Label	Job security for American workers :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Good	206	13.7%
	2	Bad	741	49.2%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	534	35.5%
	-1	Refused	24	1.6%
	System		1	.1%

Q235_6

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	338		
	Label	Your own standard of living :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Good	382	25.4%
	2	Bad	552	36.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	534	35.5%
	-1	Refused	36	2.4%
	System		1	.1%

Q235_7

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	339		
	Label	Your community :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Good	367	24.4%
	2	Bad	570	37.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	534	35.5%
	-1	Refused	33	2.2%
	System		1	.1%

Q245_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	340		
	Label	Efforts to promote international trade :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	It does	1062	70.6%
	2	It does not	386	25.6%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	57	3.8%
	System		1	.0%

Q245_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	341		
	Label	The United Nations :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	It does	980	65.1%
	2	It does not	477	31.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	47	3.1%
	System		0	.0%

Q245_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	342		
	Label	Efforts to fight international terrorism :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	It does	1252	83.2%
	2	It does not	212	14.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	41	2.7%
	System		0	.0%

Q245_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	343		
	Label	International efforts to address climate change :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	It does	699	46.5%
	2	It does not	742	49.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	63	4.2%
	System		0	.0%

Q246_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	344		
	Label	Efforts to promote international trade :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Americans	195	13.0%
	2	People in other countries	277	18.4%
	3	Both	577	38.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	442	29.4%
	-1	Refused	13	.9%
	System		0	.0%

Q246_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	345		
	Label	The United Nations :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Americans	166	11.0%
	2	People in other countries	265	17.6%
	3	Both	535	35.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	525	34.9%
	-1	Refused	15	1.0%
	System		1	.1%

Q246_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	346		
	Label	Efforts to fight international terrorism :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Americans	331	22.0%
	2	People in other countries	131	8.7%
	3	Both	775	51.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	253	16.8%
	-1	Refused	14	.9%
	System		1	.1%

Q246_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	347		
	Label	International efforts to address climate change :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Americans	129	8.6%
	2	People in other countries	87	5.8%
	3	Both	470	31.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	805	53.5%
	-1	Refused	13	.9%
	System		1	.1%

Q250

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	348		
	Label	Overall, do you think economic globalization is happening too quickly, too slowly or at the right pace?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Too quickly	401	26.7%
	2	Too slowly	186	12.4%
	3	At the right pace	399	26.5%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	487	32.4%
	-1	Refused	31	2.1%
	System		2	.1%

Q255_1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	349		
	Label	Iran :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should be	648	43.1%
	2	Should not be	296	19.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	512	34.1%
	-1	Refused	48	3.2%
	System		1	.1%

Q255_2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	350		
	Label	Hamas :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should be	529	35.2%
	2	Should not be	408	27.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	512	34.1%
	-1	Refused	55	3.7%
	System		1	.0%

Q255_3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	351		
	Label	North Korea :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should be	672	44.7%
	2	Should not be	274	18.2%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	512	34.1%
	-1	Refused	46	3.1%
	System		1	.0%

Q255_4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	352		
	Label	Cuba :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should be	694	46.2%
	2	Should not be	249	16.6%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	512	34.1%
	-1	Refused	49	3.2%
	System		1	.1%

Q255_5

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	353		
	Label	Burma :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should be	628	41.7%
	2	Should not be	299	19.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	512	34.1%
	-1	Refused	65	4.3%
	System		1	.1%

Q255_6

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	354		
	Label	Zimbabwe :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should be	604	40.1%
	2	Should not be	336	22.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	512	34.1%
	-1	Refused	52	3.4%
	System		1	.1%

Q255_7

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	355		
	Label	Hezbollah :		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Should be	510	33.9%
	2	Should not be	422	28.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	512	34.1%
	-1	Refused	60	4.0%
	System		1	.1%

Q260

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	356		
	Label	Thinking about the next generation of Americans who are children today, the way things are going, do you think economically they will be better off, worse off, or about the same as the generation of adults who are working today?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Better off	94	6.2%
	2	About the same	301	20.0%
	3	Worse off	612	40.7%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	487	32.4%
	-1	Refused	11	.7%
	System		1	.1%

Q263

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	357		
	Label	Recently some foreign government-owned funds have made major investments in American companies and financial institutions. Some observers say that as their role is purely economic, we should welcome such investment. Others say the risk of losing control of		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	In favor	296	19.7%
	2	Not in favor	681	45.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	500	33.2%
	-1	Refused	28	1.8%
	System		1	.0%

Q265

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	358		
	Label	At this time, what do you think is more important to the future of the United States?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Fixing pressing problems at home	817	54.3%
	2	Addressing challenges to the U.S. from abroad	169	11.2%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	505	33.6%
	-1	Refused	14	.9%
	System		1	.1%

Q265B

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	359		
	Label	You skipped the previous question. Please read this question and make a selection from a slightly different set of possible answers. At this time, what do you think is more important to the future of the United States?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Fixing pressing problems at home	3	.2%
	2	Securing U.S. interests abroad	1	.1%
	3	Both equally important	3	.2%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	1490	99.1%
	-1	Refused	7	.4%
	System		1	.1%

Q267

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	360		
	Label	Do you think that the distribution of income and wealth in the U.S. has recently become more fair, less fair, or stayed about the same?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	More fair	49	3.2%
	2	Less fair	658	43.7%
	3	Stayed about the same	310	20.6%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	471	31.3%
	-1	Refused	17	1.1%
	System		1	.1%

Q271

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	361		
	Label	Have globalization and international trade been very important, somewhat important, not very important or not at all important in this?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Very important	172	11.5%
	2	Somewhat important	387	25.7%
	3	Not very important	97	6.4%
	4	Not at all important	34	2.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	798	53.0%
	-1	Refused	16	1.1%
	System		1	.0%

Q1005

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	362		
	Label	In general, do you think of yourself as:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Extremely liberal	37	2.4%
	2	Liberal	160	10.6%
	3	Slightly liberal	149	9.9%
	4	Moderate, middle of the road	557	37.0%
	5	Slightly conservative	179	11.9%
	6	Conservative	331	22.0%
	7	Extremely conservative	66	4.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	28	1.8%
	System		1	.0%

Q1010

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	363		
	Label	Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an independent, or what?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Republican	474	31.5%
	2	Democrat	505	33.6%
	3	Independent	371	24.6%
	4	Other	126	8.3%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	29	1.9%
	System		1	.1%

Q1015

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	364		
	Label	Would you call yourself a strong Republican or a not very strong Republican?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Strong	259	17.2%
	2	Not strong	212	14.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	1030	68.5%
	-1	Refused	3	.2%
	System		0	.0%

Q1020

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	365		
	Label	Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or a not very strong Democrat?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Strong	255	16.9%
	2	Not strong	249	16.6%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	1000	66.4%
	-1	Refused	1	.1%
	System		1	.0%

Q1025

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	366		
	Label	Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic Party?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Republican	95	6.3%
	2	Democratic	126	8.4%
	3	Neither	149	9.9%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	1134	75.4%
	-1	Refused	1	.0%
	System		1	.1%

Q1040

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	367		
	Label	What is your religious preference? Is it Christian, Jewish, Muslim, some other religion, or no religion?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Christian	1109	73.7%
	2	Jewish	28	1.9%
	3	Muslim	6	.4%
	4	Other	125	8.3%
	5	No religion	213	14.1%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	23	1.5%
	System		0	.0%

Q1040B

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	368		
	Label	Would that be Catholic, Protestant or Other Christian?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Protestant	442	29.4%
	2	Catholic	279	18.5%
	3	Other Christian	383	25.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	395	26.3%
	-1	Refused	5	.3%
	System		0	.0%

Q1041

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	369		
	Label	What is your religious preference?		
	Type	String		
	Format	A1024		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1412	93.8%
	7 day advanes		1	.1%
	bahai		1	.0%
	baptise		1	.1%
	baptist		5	.3%
	Baptist		1	.1%
	basist		1	.0%
	batist		1	.1%
	Buddhist		2	.1%
	BUDDHIST		2	.1%
	Buddist		1	.1%
	caclic		3	.2%
	catholic		1	.1%
	cathlic.		1	.1%
	catholic		16	1.1%
	Catholic		1	.1%
	chathlic		1	.0%
	Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints,		1	.1%
	Church of jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints		1	.1%
	EARTH MEDICINE		1	.1%
	evangelist		1	.1%
	gnostic		1	.0%
	god		0	.0%
	Higher power outside of myself		1	.1%
	Hinduism		5	.3%
	Holyiness		1	.1%
	humanist		1	.1%

Q1041

	Value	Count	Percent
Valid Values			
Humanitarian		1	.0%
I don't really have one, but I wouldn't say that I have none at all.		1	.0%
i prefer not to answer		1	.1%
i would rather not say		1	.1%
I'm making mine as i go.		0	.0%
Latter-day Saint		1	.1%
Latterday Saint (mormon)		1	.1%
lds		0	.0%
LDS		1	.1%
Love for all		1	.1%
Luthren		1	.0%
myob		1	.1%
Native American lifeway - the Medicine Path, the Red Road. There are some of us still out here, you know. Haven't killed us all. Yet.		1	.1%
no		4	.3%
none		2	.2%
other		2	.1%
paegan		0	.0%
pagan, with taoist philosophy		1	.0%
Pagan.		1	.1%
pentecost		4	.2%
Pentecostal		3	.2%
Polytheist (pagan)		1	.0%
Privet		3	.2%
prositan		4	.2%
Roman Catholic		1	.1%
spirituality		1	.0%
Theosophy, Reincarnation and Karma		1	.1%
unitarian		1	.0%
Unitarian		2	.1%
Unitarian Universalist/Pagan		1	.0%

Q1041

		Value	Count	Percent
Valid Values	Unitarian/Universalist		0	.0%
	Wicca		1	.0%
	Wicca.		1	.0%
	Wiccan		1	.1%
	Yahwahism		0	.0%

Q1042

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	370		
	Label	Which one of these words best describes your kind of Christianity - fundamentalist, evangelical, charismatic, Pentecostal, or moderate to liberal?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Fundamentalist, evangelical, charismatic, or Pentecostal	378	25.1%
	2	Moderate to liberal	669	44.4%
Missing Values	-2	Not Asked	395	26.3%
	-1	Refused	62	4.1%
	System		1	.0%

partyid3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	371		
	Label	Party ID (3 categories)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1505		
	Missing	0		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	1.98		
	Standard Deviation	.986		
	Percentile 25	1.00		
	Percentile 50	2.00		
	Percentile 75	3.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	29	1.9%
	1	Republican	569	37.8%
	2	Independent/ Other	275	18.3%
	3	Democrat	631	41.9%

partyid7

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	372		
	Label	Party ID (7 categories)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F4		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1505		
	Missing	0		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	3.96		
	Standard Deviation	2.223		
	Percentile 25	2.00		
	Percentile 50	4.00		
	Percentile 75	6.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not Asked	0	.0%
	-1	Refused	29	1.9%
	1	Strong Republican	259	17.2%
	2	Not Strong Republican	215	14.3%
	3	Leans Republican	95	6.3%
	4	Undecided/Independent/Other	275	18.3%
	5	Leans Democrat	126	8.4%
	6	Not Strong Democrat	250	16.6%
	7	Strong Democrat	255	16.9%

PPGENDER

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	373		
	Label	Gender		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-2	Not asked	0	.0%
	-1	REFUSED	0	.0%
	1	Male	725	48.2%
	2	Female	780	51.8%

PPAGE

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	374		
	Label	Age		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F3		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1505		
	Missing	0		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	46.36		
	Standard Deviation	16.659		
	Percentile 25	32.00		
	Percentile 50	46.00		
Labeled Values	Percentile 75	59.00		
	-2	Not asked	0	.0%
	-1	REFUSED	0	.0%

ppagecat

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	375		
	Label	Age - 7 categories		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1505		
	Missing	0		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	3.68		
	Standard Deviation	1.676		
	Percentile 25	2.00		
	Percentile 50	4.00		
	Percentile 75	5.00		
Labeled Values	1	18-24	159	10.6%
	2	25-34	258	17.1%
	3	35-44	315	21.0%
	4	45-54	253	16.8%
	5	55-64	283	18.8%
	6	65-74	161	10.7%
	7	75+	74	4.9%
	99	Under 18	0	.0%

ppagect4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	376		
	Label	Age - 4 categories		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1505		
	Missing	0		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	2.53		
	Standard Deviation	1.070		
	Percentile 25	2.00		
	Percentile 50	3.00		
	Percentile 75	3.00		
Labeled Values	1	18-29	324	21.5%
	2	30-44	408	27.1%
	3	45-59	422	28.1%
	4	60+	350	23.3%
	99	Under 18	0	.0%

PPEDUC

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	377		
	Label	Education (Highest Degree Received)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-2	Not asked	0	.0%
	-1	REFUSED	0	.0%
	1	No formal education	3	.2%
	2	1st, 2nd, 3rd, or 4th grade	1	.1%
	3	5th or 6th grade	13	.9%
	4	7th or 8th grade	31	2.0%
	5	9th grade	16	1.1%
	6	10th grade	41	2.8%
	7	11th grade	42	2.8%
	8	12th grade NO DIPLOMA	63	4.2%
	9	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE - high school DIPLOMA or the equivalent (GED)	465	30.9%
	10	Some college, no degree	305	20.3%
	11	Associate degree	114	7.6%
	12	Bachelor's degree	261	17.3%
	13	Master's degree	100	6.6%
	14	Professional or Doctorate degree	49	3.3%

PPEDUCAT

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	378		
	Label	Education (Categorical)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-2	Not asked	0	.0%
	-1	REFUSED	0	.0%
	1	Less than high school	211	14.0%
	2	High school	465	30.9%
	3	Some college	419	27.9%
	4	Bachelor's degree or higher	410	27.2%

PPETHM

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	379		
	Label	Race / Ethnicity		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-2	Not asked	0	.0%
	-1	REFUSED	0	.0%
	1	White, Non-Hispanic	1045	69.5%
	2	Black, Non-Hispanic	166	11.0%
	3	Other, Non-Hispanic	80	5.3%
	4	Hispanic	197	13.1%
	5	2+ Races, Non-Hispanic	16	1.1%

PPHHHEAD

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	380		
	Label	Household Head		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1505		
	Missing	0		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	.78		
	Standard Deviation	.413		
	Percentile 25	1.00		
	Percentile 50	1.00		
	Percentile 75	1.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not asked	0	.0%
	-1	REFUSED	0	.0%
	0	No	328	21.8%
	1	Yes	1177	78.2%

PPHHSIZE

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	381		
	Label	Household Size		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1505		
	Missing	0		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	2.52		
	Standard Deviation	1.414		
	Percentile 25	1.00		
	Percentile 50	2.00		
	Percentile 75	3.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not asked	0	.0%
	-1	REFUSED	0	.0%

PPRENT

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	382		
	Label	Ownership Status Of Living Quarters		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-2	Not asked	0	.0%
	-1	REFUSED	0	.0%
	1	Owned or being bought by you or someone in your household	1102	73.2%
	2	Rented for cash	384	25.5%
	3	Occupied without payment of cash rent	19	1.3%

PPINCIMP

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	383		
	Label	Household Income		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1505		
	Missing	0		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	10.92		
	Standard Deviation	4.351		
	Percentile 25	8.00		
	Percentile 50	11.00		
	Percentile 75	14.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not asked	0	.0%
	-1	REFUSED	0	.0%
	1	Less than \$5,000	25	1.7%
	2	\$5,000 to \$7,499	35	2.3%
	3	\$7,500 to \$9,999	47	3.1%
	4	\$10,000 to \$12,499	49	3.3%
	5	\$12,500 to \$14,999	38	2.5%
	6	\$15,000 to \$19,999	56	3.7%
	7	\$20,000 to \$24,999	107	7.1%
	8	\$25,000 to \$29,999	78	5.2%
	9	\$30,000 to \$34,999	77	5.1%
	10	\$35,000 to \$39,999	93	6.2%
	11	\$40,000 to \$49,999	161	10.7%
	12	\$50,000 to \$59,999	130	8.7%
	13	\$60,000 to \$74,999	171	11.4%
	14	\$75,000 to \$84,999	103	6.8%

PPINCIMP

		Value	Count	Percent
Labeled Values	15	\$85,000 to \$99,999	95	6.3%
	16	\$100,000 to \$124,999	113	7.5%
	17	\$125,000 to \$149,999	57	3.8%
	18	\$150,000 to \$174,999	32	2.1%
	19	\$175,000 or more	37	2.5%

PPMARIT

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	384		
	Label	Marital Status		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-2	Not asked	0	.0%
	-1	REFUSED	0	.0%
	1	Married	744	49.4%
	2	Widowed	59	3.9%
	3	Divorced	166	11.0%
	4	Separated	39	2.6%
	5	Never married	408	27.1%
	6	Living with partner	90	6.0%

PPHOUSE

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	385		
	Label	Housing Type		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-2	Not asked	0	.0%
	-1	REFUSED	0	.0%
	1	A one-family house detached from any other house	1031	68.5%
	2	A one-family house attached to one or more houses	113	7.5%
	3	A building with 2 or more apartments	281	18.7%
	4	A mobile home	76	5.0%
	5	Boat, RV, van, etc.	3	.2%

PPT01

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	386		
	Label	Presence Of Household Members - Children under 2		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1505		
	Missing	0		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	.02		
	Standard Deviation	.202		
	Percentile 25	.00		
	Percentile 50	.00		
	Percentile 75	.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not asked	0	.0%
	-1	REFUSED	0	.0%

PPT25

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	387		
	Label	Presence Of Household Members - Children 2-5		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1505		
	Missing	0		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	.08		
	Standard Deviation	.312		
	Percentile 25	.00		
	Percentile 50	.00		
	Percentile 75	.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not asked	0	.0%
	-1	REFUSED	0	.0%

PPT612

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	388		
	Label	Presence Of Household Members - Children 6-12		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1505		
	Missing	0		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	.19		
	Standard Deviation	.567		
	Percentile 25	.00		
	Percentile 50	.00		
	Percentile 75	.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not asked	0	.0%
	-1	REFUSED	0	.0%

PPT1317

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	389		
	Label	Presence Of Household Members - Children 13-17		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1505		
	Missing	0		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	.14		
	Standard Deviation	.420		
	Percentile 25	.00		
	Percentile 50	.00		
	Percentile 75	.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not asked	0	.0%
	-1	REFUSED	0	.0%

PPT18OV

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	390		
	Label	Presence Of Household Members - Adults 18+		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1505		
	Missing	0		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	2.08		
	Standard Deviation	1.007		
	Percentile 25	1.00		
	Percentile 50	2.00		
	Percentile 75	2.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not asked	0	.0%
	-1	REFUSED	0	.0%

PPWORK

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	391		
	Label	Current Employment Status		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-2	Not asked	0	.0%
	-1	REFUSED	0	.0%
	1	Working - as a paid employee	792	52.7%
	2	Working - self-employed	92	6.1%
	3	Not working - on temporary layoff from a job	18	1.2%
	4	Not working - looking for work	70	4.7%
	5	Not working - retired	228	15.2%
	6	Not working - disabled	151	10.0%
	7	Not working - other	153	10.2%

PPSTATEN

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	392		
	Label	State		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1505		
	Missing	0		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	55.32		
	Standard Deviation	25.447		
	Percentile 25	32.00		
	Percentile 50	57.00		
	Percentile 75	74.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not asked	0	.0%
	-1	REFUSED	0	.0%
	11	ME	9	.6%
	12	NH	6	.4%
	13	VT	2	.1%
	14	MA	35	2.3%
	15	RI	0	.0%
	16	CT	7	.5%
	21	NY	119	7.9%
	22	NJ	30	2.0%
	23	PA	69	4.6%
	31	OH	71	4.7%
	32	IN	33	2.2%
	33	IL	46	3.1%
	34	MI	49	3.2%
	35	WI	35	2.3%
	41	MN	20	1.3%
	42	IA	26	1.7%
	43	MO	25	1.7%
	44	ND	2	.1%
	45	SD	5	.3%
	46	NE	10	.7%
	47	KS	10	.7%

PPSTATEN

		Value	Count	Percent
Labeled Values	51	DE	12	.8%
	52	MD	23	1.5%
	53	DC	3	.2%
	54	VA	37	2.5%
	55	WV	13	.9%
	56	NC	35	2.3%
	57	SC	28	1.9%
	58	GA	33	2.2%
	59	FL	83	5.5%
	61	KY	22	1.5%
	62	TN	36	2.4%
	63	AL	31	2.1%
	64	MS	10	.7%
	71	AR	15	1.0%
	72	LA	23	1.5%
	73	OK	16	1.1%
	74	TX	128	8.5%
	81	MT	6	.4%
	82	ID	8	.5%
	83	WY	1	.1%
	84	CO	23	1.5%
	85	NM	24	1.6%
	86	AZ	26	1.7%
	87	UT	13	.9%
	88	NV	17	1.1%
	91	WA	42	2.8%
	92	OR	13	.9%
	93	CA	158	10.5%
	94	AK	2	.1%
	95	HI	12	.8%

PPREG4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	393		
	Label	Region 4 - Based On State Of Residence		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-2	Not asked	0	.0%
	-1	REFUSED	0	.0%
	1	Northeast	278	18.4%
	2	Midwest	332	22.1%
	3	South	550	36.6%
	4	West	345	22.9%

ppreg9

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	394		
	Label	Region 9 - Based On State Of Residence		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1505		
	Missing	0		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	5.18		
	Standard Deviation	2.503		
	Percentile 25	3.00		
	Percentile 50	5.00		
	Percentile 75	7.00		
Labeled Values	1	New England	59	3.9%
	2	Mid-Atlantic	219	14.5%
	3	East-North Central	234	15.6%
	4	West-North Central	98	6.5%
	5	South Atlantic	268	17.8%
	6	East-South Central	100	6.6%
	7	West-South Central	182	12.1%
	8	Mountain	117	7.8%
	9	Pacific	227	15.1%

PPMSACAT

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	395		
	Label	MSA Status		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
	N			
	Valid	1505		
	Missing	0		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	.83		
	Standard Deviation	.372		
	Percentile 25	1.00		
	Percentile 50	1.00		
	Percentile 75	1.00		
Labeled Values	-2	Not asked	0	.0%
	-1	REFUSED	0	.0%
	0	Non-Metro	249	16.6%
	1	Metro	1255	83.4%

PPNET

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	396		
	Label	HHs with Internet Access		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
	N			
	Valid	1505		
	Missing	0		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	.62		
	Standard Deviation	.485		
	Percentile 25	.00		
	Percentile 50	1.00		
	Percentile 75	1.00		
Labeled Values	0	No	571	37.9%
	1	Yes	934	62.1%

\$Randata

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Label	Data-only: Randomly shown 39 of 58 questions		
	Type	Multiple Dichotomy Set		
Multiple Response Categories	Randata01	Q10	1004	66.7%
	Randata02	Q15	1000	66.5%
	Randata03	Q20	1006	66.9%
	Randata04	Q25	1019	67.7%
	Randata05	Q40	1016	67.5%
	Randata06	Q45	989	65.8%
	Randata07	Q50	986	65.5%
	Randata08	Q52	1013	67.4%
	Randata09	Q55	1001	66.5%
	Randata10	Q65	1008	67.0%
	Randata11	Q66	1036	68.8%
	Randata12	Q70	1035	68.8%
	Randata13	Q75	973	64.7%
	Randata14	Q80	971	64.5%
	Randata15	Q85	1004	66.7%
	Randata16	Q90	948	63.0%
	Randata17	Q92	996	66.2%
	Randata18	Q95	1049	69.7%
	Randata19	Q97	1021	67.8%
	Randata20	Q100	998	66.4%
	Randata21	Q105	1014	67.4%
	Randata22	Q110	1001	66.6%
	Randata23	Q115	986	65.5%
	Randata24	Q125	985	65.5%
	Randata25	Q130	1008	67.0%
	Randata26	Q140	1003	66.7%
	Randata27	Q155	999	66.4%
	Randata28	Q160	1006	66.9%
	Randata29	Q165	996	66.2%
	Randata30	Q170	1013	67.3%
	Randata31	Q175	1014	67.4%
	Randata32	Q180	1019	67.7%

\$Randata

		Value	Count	Percent
Multiple Response Categories	Randata33	Q185	1001	66.6%
	Randata34	Q190	959	63.8%
	Randata35	Q195	1013	67.4%
	Randata36	Q200	1004	66.7%
	Randata37	Q205	1016	67.5%
	Randata38	Q210	995	66.1%
	Randata39	Q215	991	65.9%
	Randata40	Q218	1009	67.1%
	Randata41	Q219	1004	66.8%
	Randata42	Q220	997	66.2%
	Randata43	Q223	1000	66.5%
	Randata44	Q224	1006	66.8%
	Randata45	Q225	1015	67.5%
	Randata46	Q226	995	66.1%
	Randata47	Q231	1004	66.8%
	Randata48	Q235	970	64.5%
	Randata49	Q250	1018	67.6%
	Randata50	Q255	992	65.9%
	Randata51	Q260	1018	67.6%
	Randata52	Q263	1004	66.8%
	Randata53	Q265	1000	66.4%
	Randata54	Q267	1033	68.7%